ULTRASOUND ASSISTED SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERIZATION AND ELECTROCHEMICAL STUDY OF A TETRADENTATE OXOVANADIUM DIAZOMETHINE COMPLEX.

Moufida Merzougui^a, Kamel Ouari^{a*}, Jean Weiss^b

^a*Laboratoire d'Electrochimie, d'Ingénierie Moléculaire et de Catalyse Redox (LEIMCR), Faculté de Technologie, Université Ferhat ABBAS Sétif-1, 19000 Sétif, Algérie.*

^b*Laboratoire de Chimie des Ligands à Architecture Contrôlée (CLAC), Institut de Chimie UMR 7177 CNRS-Université de Strasbourg, 1 rue Blaise Pascal, 67008 Strasbourg Cedex, France.*

Abstract

The oxovanadium(IV) complex “VOL” of a tetradentate Schiff base ligand derived from the condensation of diaminoethane and 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde was efficiently prepared via ultrasound irradiation and the template effect of VO(acac)₂.

The resulting product was characterized by elemental analysis, infrared, electronic absorption and molar conductance measurement. Single X-Ray structure analysis showed that the complex is a monomeric five-coordinate with a distorted square pyramidal geometry. It crystallizes in monoclinic system having unit cell parameters $a=8.3960(5)$ Å; $b=12.5533(8)$ Å and $c=18.7804(11)$ Å; $\alpha = \gamma = 90^\circ$; $\beta = 104.843^\circ(2)$, with $P 2_1/c$ space group.

Cyclic voltammetry of the complex, carried out on a glassy carbon (GC) electrode in DMF, showed reversible cyclic voltammograms response in the potential range 0.15–0.60 V involving a single electron redox wave V^V/V^{IV} , the diffusion coefficient is determined using GC rotating disk electrode. The Levich plot, $I_{lim} = f(\omega^{1/2})$, was used to calculate the diffusion-convection controlled currents.

Keywords: Schiff base, Oxovanadium, Ultrasound Irradiation, X-ray Diffraction, Cyclic Voltammetry.

Introduction

Schiff base ligands (SB) derived from diamines and phenolic aldehydes have proven to be a class of versatile ligands for many transition metals including vanadium(IV) [1-3]. Recently, the coordination chemistry of vanadyl complexes has extraordinarily developed in various directions due to their interesting structural features [4-6], catalytic applications [7] potential roles in a variety of biorelated processes, such as insulin mimics [8-9], as well as antimicrobial or anti-leukemia effects [10-11]. The presence of different heterocyclic moieties

in some oxovanadium SB complexes has been linked to their antitumoral properties conferring them a promising future in treatment of cancers [12].

The majority of oxovanadium (IV) SB complexes exhibiting N₂O₂ coordination and reported in the literature are green. Their structure is generally well established as monomers with five-coordinate square-pyramidal geometry [5, 6] but in rare cases, trigonal bipyramidal or distorted octahedral geometries have been observed [13-15]. Heterogeneous oxovanadium SB complexes catalysts, immobilized in microspheres, have been successfully used in the aerobic selective oxidation of ethyl benzene to acetophenone and exhibit high catalytic activity and excellent selectivity [16].

Electrochemical study and structural properties of oxovanadium complexes with tetradentate SB ligands attract particular attention because of their reversible one-electron redox behavior [17-18]. However, oxovanadium complexes with a large number of tetradentate SB ligands have been widely reported [19-20].

Electrochemical study and structural properties of oxovanadium complexes bearing tetradentate SB ligands have attracted particular attention owing to their two successive one electron redox couple [17-18] and oxovanadium complexes with a large number of tetradentate SB ligands have been widely reported [19-20]. Over the last decades considerable efforts have been aiming at the development of new synthetic procedures including the solvent-free method [21], microwave assisted synthesis approach [22], electrosynthesis [23] and ultrasound assisted synthesis [24]. Ultrasound irradiation is considered as a green and efficient technique for the activation of reagents in the synthesis of organic [25-26] and inorganic compounds [27]. The formation of several metal complexes bearing azo ligands, like Schiff bases [28-29], porphyrins [30] and quinolones [31], have been enhanced under ultrasound. The significant features of the ultrasound approach are the formation of pure products in prominent yields [32], the improved rate of reactions and the easier handling of reagents and products. The ultrasound synthesis technique is thus highly adapted to green chemistry approaches in coordination chemistry [33].

Considering the importance of oxovanadium SB complexes, we wish to report in this work a safe procedure without solvent under ultrasound irradiation for the synthesis of an oxovanadium complex by template method, previously prepared by F.C. Anson [34] using a conventional procedure. The complex has been characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction and the electrochemical properties of this complex have been investigated by

cyclic voltammetry at a glassy carbon (GC) electrode in DMF solvent. The diffusion coefficient has been determined using the Levich plot on a GC rotating disk electrode.

1. Experimental

1.1. Materials and measurements

All chemical reagents and solvents were purchased from Merck or Aldrich and used without further purification. Sonication was performed on an US-bath with frequency of 50-60 Hz and a nominal power (720 W). Elemental analyses were performed on an Elementar-Vario EL III CHNS analyzer. Infrared spectra were obtained using potassium bromide (KBr) pellets ($4000\text{--}400\text{ cm}^{-1}$) on a Shimadzu FTIR spectrophotometer. Electronic spectra in the 200–900 nm range were recorded using a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer using DMF as solvent. Molar conductance of VOL complex was determined in DMF (10^{-3} M) at room temperature using MeterLab CDM- 210 conductivity meter. Melting point of the complex was determined on a Kofler Bank 7779 apparatus.

Electrochemical experiments were performed on a PGZ 301 potentiostat, cyclic voltammograms (CV) and rotating disk Electrode (RDE) measurements were recorded at room temperature in DMF solutions containing 0.1 M LiClO_4 as supporting electrolyte, using a glassy carbon (GC) as working electrode, platinum wire and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) were used as counter and reference electrodes, respectively. Prior to the experiments the working electrode was polished and rinsed thoroughly with distilled water and acetone. The polished electrode was placed in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 solution and the electrochemical activation of the electrodes were performed by continuous potential cycling from -500 to 1800 mV at a sweep rate of 100 mV s^{-1} until a stable voltammogram is obtained [35]. Before each electrochemical investigation, the electrolyte was purged with nitrogen during 15 minutes.

Cyclic voltammogram measurements were performed in the range -2200 to 1600 mV versus SCE in which the electrochemical redox couple of $\text{V}^{\text{IV}}/\text{V}^{\text{V}}$ is observed in the positive window of the scan. Under identical conditions, the ferrocene/ferricinium (Fc/Fc^+) couple is observed at 455 mV.

1.2. Synthesis procedures

1.2.1. Ultrasonication method

A reaction flask containing 0.344 g (2 mmol) of 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde, 66.8 μl (1 mmol) of 1,2-diaminoethane and 0.265 g (1 mmol) of bis (acetylacetonato) oxovanadium (Scheme.1), mixed in a mortar, was immersed in an ultrasonic bath at a

methods using the program SHELXS-97 [40]. The refinement and all further calculations were carried out using SHELXL-2013 [41]. The H-atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms using SHELXL default parameters. The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically, using weighted full-matrix least-squares on F^2 . A semi-empirical absorption correction was applied using SADABS in APEX2 [39]; transmission factors: $T_{\min}/T_{\max} = 0.6157/0.7456$.

1.4. Results and discussion

1.4.1. Molar Conductance

The molar conductance of 10^{-3} M of VOLcomplex in DMF as solvent is $\Lambda = 1.74 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$, this very low value indicating that the title complex is electrically neutral[42-43].

1.4.2. Crystal structure of VOLcomplex

The crystal structure of the complex, depicted in Fig. 1, consists of one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The compound crystallizes in the monoclinic system with space group P21/c and the cell dimensions $a = 8.3960$ (5); $b = 12.5533$ (8); $c = 18.7804$ (11) Å; $\alpha = \gamma = 90^\circ$; $\beta = 104.843^\circ$ (2).

Fig. 1.

Parameters for data collection and refinement are summarized in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 2.

Table 1

Table 2

The structure of the VOL complex has a slightly distorted square pyramidal coordination geometry. The basal square plane is formed by the N,N'-bis (2-hydroxy-naphthalidenato)-diaminoethane molecule, which acts as a tetradentate ligand through its two N atoms and two deprotonated phenol O atoms. The two naphthalene rings are nearly coplanar with a dihedral angle of $2.315(41)^\circ$ between the two rings. Typical values of V-N bond distances of $2.0367(16)$ and $2.0401(16)$ Å, and V-O bond distances of $1.9491(14)$ and $1.9324(14)$ Å, are found in agreement with those reported for other oxovanadium (IV) complex [44]. The V1-O3 bond distance is $1.5951(14)$ Å and the V1 atom is located 0.596 Å above the mean plane defined by atoms N1/N2/O1/O2. In the cell, the crystal is stabilized by a network of

intermolecular hydrogen bonds, which are shown in Fig. 2. In these circumstances, there are no other intramolecular interactions in the structure.

Fig. 2.

1.4.3. FTIR spectra

The IR spectrum of the VOL complex exhibits several prominent bands in the 4000–400 cm^{-1} region. Among these absorptions, the VOL complex displayed strong C=N stretch (around 1618 cm^{-1}) which indicates the C=N group of the coordinated SB ligand[45]. It can be noticed that ν C=N band in the complex is shifted to the lower energy region of approximately 20 cm^{-1} in the corresponding free ligand reported in the literature [46-47]. Another broad band around 1540 cm^{-1} is related to the aromatic C=C vibration [1]. In addition, the stretching vibration of C-O, in the naphtholatemoities, at 1340-1360 cm^{-1} suggests the coordination of the naphtholate oxygen to the vanadium ion as reported in the literature[46], this absorption frequency is higher than that observed in the spectrum of corresponding ligand (1327 cm^{-1}) [48-49].

The complex exhibits characteristic sharp and medium $\nu(\text{V}=\text{O})$ at 987 cm^{-1} indicating that there is no intermolecular interaction with the participation of the oxovanadium moiety [2-10].

1.4.4. Electronic spectroscopy

The electronic spectrum of the oxovanadium complex was recorded using DMF solution at room temperature in the range 200-800 nm. The complex showed two intense bands at 323 and 380 nm that can be assigned to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions of the aromatic rings of naphthalene and $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions of the azomethine chromophores respectively [50]. A broad shoulder is observed near 400 nm corresponding to the ligand-to-metal charge-transfer transition (LMCT), from the lone pair system of both O-atoms in naphthalene rings to the d orbital of the vanadium atom [19]. The vanadium (IV) complex exhibits an additional low intensity broad band in the range of 550-800 nm due to $d \rightarrow d$ transitions [5]. The UV-vis absorption spectrum of VOL complex is shown in Fig. 3.

Fig.3.

1.4.5. Electrochemical

The redox properties of the SB ligand and its oxovanadium complex were investigated by cyclic voltammetry under nitrogen atmosphere, using DMF solution containing 0.1 M LiClO₄ as supporting electrolyte, at a glassy carbon as a working electrode, the redox potentials are expressed with reference to SCE. The cyclic voltammograms are given in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4.

The cyclic voltammogram of the VOL complex showed three anodic waves at $E_{pa}=+1125$, $E_{pa}^1= +415$ and $E_{pa}^2=-1659$ mV/SCE. The first anodic wave is irreversible and assigned to the oxidation of the ligand [19]. The second and the third ones are quasi-reversible redox systems showing the half wave potentials at $E_{1/2}^1=+374$ and $E_{1/2}^2 = -1704$ mV/SCE. The peak-to-peak separation between the anodic and the cathodic potentials, ΔE_p^1 and ΔE_p^2 , are of 82 and 90 mV respectively [51-52] at 100 mVs⁻¹ scan rate. The half wave potentials $E_{1/2}^1= +374$ and $E_{1/2}^2 = -1704$ mV/SCE are assigned to the VO^V/VO^{IV} and VO^{IV}/VO^{III} redox processes, similar compounds are widely reported in the literature. [17, 53-54]. The reduction wave occurred at $E_{pc}= -1537$ mV/SCE is due to the reduction of the azomethine groups. [55]

In the free ligand, two irreversible waves are observed on its cyclic voltammogram, an anodic wave appearing at +1116 mV/SCE and a cathodic one at -1653 mV/SCE attributed respectively to the oxidation of the hydroxyl functions and the reduction of the azomethine groups as reported in the literature [56,57]. The oxidation and the reduction peak potentials of the ligand are shifted to a slightly lower potential values, reveals that the hydroxyl(-OH) and the azomethine(C=N) groups are involved in the binding of the vanadyl core. [58]

Table 3

Cyclic voltammogram measurements at different scan rates, between 50 and 400 mVs⁻¹ (Fig. 5) indicate, in the potential range of 150 – 600 mV/SCE, that E_p values are slightly dependent upon the scan rate. By increasing the scan rate values, the anodic and the cathodic peak potentials shift respectively to a more positive and more negative values. The ratios of the anodic to cathodic peak i_{pa}/i_{pc} currents are close to unity (Table 3). The peak separation between the cathodic and the anodic peak potentials, ΔE_p , have been determined, and reach a value of 82 mV at 100 mVs⁻¹ scan rate that is greater than that expected for reversible systems, which indicates a quasi-reversible electrode process. Similar results have been reported for several oxovanadium SB complexes [12,17]. A linear relationship between the anodic peak

current and the square root of the scan rates ($i_{pa} = f(v^{1/2})$) is observed (inset of Fig.5), this fact implies that this electrochemical process is mainly diffusion-controlled [6, 59].

Fig. 5.

In order to determine the diffusion coefficient, a hydrodynamic voltammetry experiment is carried out using a glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (RDE) at various rotation rates, from 250 to 3000 rpm. The experiment is realized in DMF solution containing 1 mmol of VOL complex and 0.1 M LiClO₄. The current–potential curves and the Levich plots are shown in Fig. 6. Under the studied conditions, a linear correlation between the limiting current and the square root of the rotating rate is observed which leads to the determination of the value of the proportionality constant in the Levich equation for a one electron VO^V/VO^{IV} reduction [60]. Diffusion coefficient was calculated to be (2.7.10⁻⁶cm²/s) from the slopes of the i_{lim} vs. $\omega^{1/2}$ plots, using Levich equation [61]:

$$i_{lim} = 0.620 n F A D^{\frac{2}{3}} \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{6}} C$$

where i_{lim} is the Levich current (μA/cm²), n is the number of electrons transferred, F is the faraday constant (C/mol), A is the electrode area (cm²), ν is the kinematic viscosity (cm²/s), D and C are the diffusion coefficient (m²/s) and the analyte concentration (mol/cm³) respectively, ω is the angular rotation rate of the electrode (rad/s).

Fig. 6.

Conclusion

In this paper, we describe the sonochemical and conventional synthesis of a symmetrical oxovanadium Schiff base complex. In free solvent conditions, the ultrasonic irradiation procedure reduces the reaction time to 40 minutes instead of 3 hours compared with the conventional method and affords the desired product in a higher yield and purity. The non-electrolytic nature of the VOL complex was confirmed on the basis of their molar conductance value. The crystallographic data showed that the vanadium (IV) ion in VOL Schiff base complex is five coordinated with distorted square-pyramid geometry.

The electrochemical behavior of the complex exhibits a quasi-reversible one electron redox process at $E_{1/2}$ =374 mV/SCE, with current ratio i_{pa}/i_{pc} equals to unity and the peak-to-peak separation value, ΔE_p , is of 82 mV. The linear relationship observed between the anodic peak current (i_{pa}) and the square root of the scan rates ($v^{1/2}$) indicates a diffusion-controlled

nature of the electrode process. The diffusion coefficient is $2.5 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ from the Levich plots, $I_{\text{lim}} = f(\omega^{1/2})$.

Supplementary data

CCDC 1003714 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for VOL. These data can be obtained free of charge via <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html>, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

Acknowledgements

The Authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from The Algerian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. They also acknowledge the help to access the NMR Facility and Microanalysis Service at the Chemistry Institute of the University of Strasbourg – France.

References

- [1] M. Asadi, Z. Asadi, N. Savaripoor, M. Dusek, V. Eigner, M.R. Shorkaei, M. Sedaghat, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* 136 (2015) 625–634.
- [2] K. Mohammadi, S.S. Azad, A. Amoozegar, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* 146 (2015) 221–227.
- [3] G. von Willingh, H.S. Abbo, S.J.J. Titinchi, *Catal. Today* 227 (2014) 96–104.
- [4] D. Qu, F. Niu, X. Zhao, K.-X. Yan, Y.-T. Ye, J. Wang, M. Zhang, Z. You, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 23 (2015) 1944–1949.
- [5] P. Paciorek, J. Szklarzewicz, A. Jasinska, B. Trzewik, W. Nitek, M. Hodorowicz, *Polyhedron* 87 (2015) 226–232.
- [6] H. Hosseini-Monfared, R. Bikas, P. Mahboubi-Anarjan, A.J. Blake, V. Lippolis, N.B. Arslan, C. Kazak, *Polyhedron* 69 (2014) 90–102.
- [7] G. Grivani, V. Tahmasebi, A. D. Khalaji, *Polyhedron* 68 (2014) 144–150.
- [8] A. A. Nejo, G. A. Kolawole, A. R. Opoku, J. Wolowska, P. O'Brien, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 362 (2009) 3993–4001.
- [9] M.L. Sharma, S.K. Sengupta, O.P. Pandey, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* 95 (2012) 562–568.

- [10] Z. H. Chohan, S. H. Sumrra, M. H. Youssoufi, T. B. Hadda, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 45 (2010) 2739-2747.
- [11] A. Meshkini, R. Yazdanparast, *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* 89 (2010) 334–342.
- [12] I.E. León, N. Butenko, A.L. Di Virgilio, C.I. Muglia, E.J. Baran, I. Cavaco, S.B. Etcheverry, *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 134 (2014) 106–117.
- [13] S. Liang, D. V. Derveer, S. Y. Qian, B. Sturgeon, X. R. Bu, *Polyhedron* 21 (2002) 2021–2025.
- [14] G. Grivani, S. Delkhosh, K. Fejfarová, M. Dušek, A.D. Khalaji, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 27 (2013) 82–87.
- [15] G. Grivani, A. Ghavami, M. Kucerakova, M. Dušek, A.D. Khalaji, *J. Mol. Struct.* 1076 (2014) 326–332.
- [16] B. Gao, Y. Li, N. Shi, *React. Funct. Polym.* 73 (2013) 1573–1579.
- [17] P. Galloni, A. Coletti, B. Floris, V. Conte, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 420 (2014) 144–148.
- [18] S. Rayati, F. Ashouri, *C. R. Chim.* 15 (2012) 679–687.
- [19] V. T. Kasumov, F. Koksai, M. Aslanoglu, Y. Yerli, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* 77 (2010) 630–637.
- [20] K. Mohammadi, M. Rastegari, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* 97 (2012) 711–716.
- [21] G.T. Tigineh, Y.-S. Wen, L.-K. Liu, *Tetrahedron* 71 (2015) 170–175.
- [22] M. Yadav, N. Mishra, N. Sharma, S. Chandra, D. Kumar, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* 132 (2014) 733–742.
- [23] S. Meghdadi, V. Mirkhani, R. Kia, M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad, I. M.-Baltork, *Polyhedron* 85 (2015) 519–524.
- [24] H. Ghasempour, A. Azhdari Tehrani, A. Morsali, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 27 (2015) 503–508.
- [25] H.B. Ammar, M. Chtourou, M. H. Frikha, M. Trabelsi, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 22 (2015) 559–564.
- [26] Y.-L. Song, Y.-F. Dong, F. Wu, T. Yang, G.-L. Yang, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 22 (2015) 119–124.
- [27] S. Anandan, T. Sivasankar, T. L-Villarreal, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 21 (2014) 1964–1968.

- [28] S. Rayati, P. Abdolalian, *Appl. Catal., A* 456 (2013) 240–248.
- [29] M. Montazerzohori, S.M. Jahromi, A. Naghiha, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 22 (2015) 248–257.
- [30] C. Sun, B. Hu, W. Zhou, S. Xu, Z. Liu, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 18 (2011) 501–505.
- [31] A. Shahrjerdi, S.S.H. Davarani, E. Najafi, M.M. Amini, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 22 (2015) 382–390.
- [32] J. S-Ghomi, R. Masoomi, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 23 (2015) 212–218.
- [33] P.N. Kalaria, S.P. Satasia, J.R. Avalani, D.K. Raval, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 83 (2014) 655–664.
- [34] Z. Liu, F.C. Anson, *Inorg. Chem.* 40 (2001) 1329–1333.
- [35] M. Shamsipur, A. Salimi, H. Haddadzadeh, M.F. Mousavi, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 517 (2001) 37–44.
- [36] S.A. Amer, M. Gaber, R. M. ISSA, *Polyhedron* 7-24 (1988) 2635–2640.
- [37] N. Choudhary, D. L. Hughes, U. Kleinkes, L.F. Larkworthy, G. J. Leigh, M. Maiwald, C. J. Marmion, J. R. Sanders, G. W. Smith, C. Sudbrake, *Polyhedron* 16- 9 (1997) 1517–1528.
- [38] Z. Liu, F.C. Anson, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 186 (2002) 43–51.
- [39] “M86-E01078 APEX2 User Manual”, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, USA, 2006.
- [40] G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXS-97. Program for Crystal Structure Determination, ActaCrystallogr., A* 46 (1990), 467–473.
- [41] G.M. Sheldrick, (2008) “A short history of SHELX”, *Acta.Cryst., A* 64, 112–122.
- [42] Z.-L. You, D.-H. Shi, J.-C. Zhang, Y.-P. Ma, C. Wang, K. Li, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 384 (2012) 54–61.
- [43] B.T. Thaker, R.S. Barvalia, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* 84 (2011) 51–61.
- [44] M.-G. Xie, L. Li, X.-D. Yang, W.-P. Liu, S.-P. Yan, Y.-F. Niu, Z.-H Meng, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 45 (2010) 2327–2335.
- [45] K. Ouari, S. Bendia, J. Weiss, C. Bailly, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* 135 (2015) 624–631.
- [46] K. N. Kumar, R. Ramesh, *Polyhedron* 24 (2005) 1885–1892.
- [47] Y. Choia, W. Kim, K. Chung, M. Chung, H. Nam, *Microchem. J.* 65 (2000) 3–15.
- [48] C. R. Bhattacharjee, P. Goswami, P. Mondal, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 387 (2012) 86–92.

- [49] M. Bagherzadeh, M. Amini, J. Coord. Chem. 63 (2010), 3849–3858.
- [50] A.M. Ajlouni, Z.A. Taha, W. Momani, A.K. Hijazi, M. Ebqa'ai, Inorg. Chim. Acta 388 (2012) 120–126.
- [51] P.K. Sasmal, A.K. Patra, A.R. Chakravarty, J. Inorg. Biochem. 102 (2008) 1463–1472.
- [52] M. Sutradhar, T.R. Barman, S. Ghosh, M.G.B. Drew, J. Mol. Struct. 1037 (2013) 276–282.
- [53] P. Prasad, P. K. Sasmal, R. Majumdar, R.R. Dighe, A.R. Chakravarty, Inorg. Chim. Acta 363 (2010) 2743–2751.
- [54] V. Prakash, K. Srivastava, J. Prasad, J. Mol. Liq. 204 (2015) 1–9.
- [55] M. Sarigul, P. Deveci, M. Kose, U. Arslan, H.T. Dagi, M.Kurtoglu, J. Mol. Struct. 1096 (2015) 64–73.
- [56] I. Kaya, E. Bora, A. Aydin, Prog. Org. Coat. 77 (2014) 463–472.
- [57] H. Temel, S. Pasa, Y.S. Ocak, I. Yilmaz, S. Demir, I. Ozdemir, Synth. Met. 161 (2012) 2765–27.
- [58] E. S. Bazhina, M. E. Nikiforova, G. G. Aleksandrov, N. N. Efimov, H. A. Ugolkova, O. M. Nikitin, T. V. Magdesieva, A. S. Bogomyakov, V. V. Minin, A. A. Sidorov, V. M. Novotortsev, I. L. Eremenko, Inorg. Chim. Acta 392 (2012) 192–198.
- [59] K. K. Raja, L. Lekha, R. Hariharan, D. Easwaramoorthi, G. Rajagopal, J. Mol. Struct. 1075 (2014) 227–233.
- [60] S. Gutierrez-Granados, F. Bedioui, J. Devynck, Electrochim. Acta 38 (1993) 1747–1751.
- [61] A.J. Bard, L.R. Faulkner, Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications, Second ed., Wiley, New York, 2001.

Captions

Fig. 1. ORTEP plot of the X-ray crystal structure of VOL complex with atom labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level except for the H atoms, which are shown as circles of arbitrary radius.

Fig. 2. Crystal packing of VOL complex showing intermolecular hydrogen bonds as dashed lines.

Fig. 3. UV–vis absorption spectra of VOL complex in DMF at room temperature, the inset shows an expanded spectrum between 450 and 800 nm.

Fig. 4. Cyclic voltammograms of VOL complex (a), SB ligand (b) and Fc/Fc⁺(c) in 0.1 M LiClO₄/DMF solution at scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹.

Fig. 5. Cyclic voltammograms of VOL complex in 0.1 M LiClO₄/DMF solution at various scan rates: from inner to outer, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350 and 400 (mVs⁻¹) respectively. The inset shows the anodic currents vs $v^{1/2}$.

Fig. 6. Voltammograms of VOL complex at RDE in 0.1 M LiClO₄/DMF solution. Rotation rates: from 250 to 3000 rpm. The inset shows the Levich plot.

Table 1

Crystallographic and refinement data of VOLcomplex

Compound	VOL
Molecular formula moiety	C ₂₄ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₃ V
Molecular weight	433.34
Temperature (K)	173(2)
Radiation	Mo K α 0.71073Å
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	P2 ₁ /C
a/ Å	8.3960(5)
b/ Å	12.5533 (8)
c/ Å	18.7804(11)
Alpha	90.00
Beta	104.843 (2)
Gamma	90.00
V/ Å ³	1913.4 (2)
Z	4
D _{calc} (g.cm ⁻³)	1.504
Crystal size (mm ³)	0.25, 0.18, 0.12
Crystal color	Green
Crystal description	Plate
Absorption coefficient	0.549
F(000)	892
Reflections collected/unique	19012/4639 [R _{int} = 0.0526]
Ranges/ indices (h; k; l)	-11,11; -16,16; -24,24
Teta _{limit}	1.972-28.110
No. of observed data [I > 2 σ (I)]	3296
No. of parameters	271
No. of restraints	0
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.011
Largest diff, peak and hole (eÅ ⁻³)	0.512 and -0.317
R ₁ , wR ₂ [I $\geq$ 2 σ (I)] ^a	0.0699, 0.0930
R ₁ , wR ₂ (all data) ^a	0.0384, 0.0828

$$^a R_1 = \frac{||F_o| - |F_c||}{\sum |F_o|}, \quad wR_2 = [\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \sum w(F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}$$

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0430P)^2 + 0.4910P] \text{ where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$$

Table 2

Selected bond lengths (Å), and bond angles (°) for VOL^{et} complex.

Bond lengths (Å)		Bond angles (°)			
V1-N1	2.0367 (16)	O1-V1-O2	89.21 (6)	O1-V1-O3	107.09 (7)
V1-O1	1.9491 (14)	O1-V1-N1	85.41 (6)	O1-V1-N2	148.88 (6)
V1-O3	1.5951 (14)	O2-V1-O3	111.75 (7)	O2-V1-N1	140.29(6)
V1-N2	2.0401 (16)	O2-V1-N2	85.83 (6)	O3-V1-N1	107.42 (7)
V1-O2	1.9324 (14)	O3-V1-N2	103.27 (7)	N1-V1-N2	79.12 (6)

Table 3

Cyclic voltammetric parameters for the oxovanadium SB complex in different scan rates

v (mVs ⁻¹)	E_{pa} (mV/SCE)	E_{pc} (mV/SCE)	ΔE_p (mV)	E_{1/2} (mV/SCE)	i_{pa} (mA)	i_{pc} (mA)	i_{pa}/i_{pc} /
50	415	335	80	375	10.33	10.13	1.01
100	415	333	82	374	14.66	14.35	1.02
150	417	335	82	376	18.11	17.2	1.05
200	418	334	84	376	20.54	19.56	1.05
250	420	334	86	377	22.79	22.49	1.01
300	422	332	90	377	25.35	25.22	1.01
350	423	331	92	377	26.56	25.79	1.03
400	424	330	94	377	28.24	27.76	1.02