See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319837600>

New Fluorinated Dithienyldiketopyrrolopyrrole Monomers and Polymers for Organic Electronics

Article in *Macromolecules* · September 2017

DOI: 10.1021/acs.macromol.7b01198

CITATIONS

37

READS

311

10 authors, including:

Thomas Bura

Laval University

45 PUBLICATIONS 2,390 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Serge Beaupre

Laval University

76 PUBLICATIONS 10,152 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Olzhas Ibraikulov

CEA Tech

21 PUBLICATIONS 319 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Marc-André Légaré

McGill University

41 PUBLICATIONS 2,499 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Polymer Solar cells [View project](#)

Unipolarization [View project](#)

New Fluorinated Dithienyldiketopyrrolopyrrole Monomers and Polymers for Organic Electronics

Thomas Bura,[†] Serge Beaupré,[†] Olzhas A. Ibraikulov,[‡] Marc-André Légaré,[§] Jesse Quinn,^{||} Patrick Lévêque,[‡] Thomas Heiser,[‡] Yuning Li,^{||} Nicolas Leclerc,[⊥] and Mario Leclerc^{*,†,||}

[†]Canada Research Chair on Electroactive and Photoactive Polymers, Department of Chemistry, Université Laval, Quebec City, Quebec G1V 0A6, Canada

[‡]Laboratoire ICube, DESSP, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, 23 rue du Loess, Strasbourg 67037, France

[§]Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Julius-Maximilians Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, Würzburg 97074, Germany

^{||}Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada

[⊥]Institut de Chimie et Procédés pour l'Énergie, l'Environnement et la Santé, ICPEES, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Strasbourg 67087, France

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) derivatives are among the most efficient materials studied for both polymer solar cells (PSCs) and organic field-effect transistors (OFETs) applications. We report here the synthesis of new fluorinated dithienyldiketopyrrolopyrrole (fDT-DPP) monomers suitable for direct heteroarylation polymerization. fDT-DPP copolymers were then prepared to probe the effect of the fluorination. It was found that they feature deeper HOMO energy levels and smaller bandgaps than their non-fluorinated analogues. Moreover, some fDT-DPP copolymers show ambipolar behavior when tested in OFETs. For example, P2 shows hole mobility up to $0.8 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and electron mobility up to $0.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Inverted PSCs with power conversion efficiency (PCE) up to 7.5% were also obtained for P5. These results reported here (OFETs and PSCs) confirm that the fluorination of dithienyl-DPP moieties improves the performance of organic electronics devices. This study is also evidencing the strength of the direct heteroarylation polymerization and fDT-DPP as a new class of conjugated polymers.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the past few years, organic solar cells (OSCs) and organic field-effect transistors (OFETs) based on π -conjugated polymers have stimulated broad interest from academic and industrial laboratories due to the possibility of creating efficient, lightweight, and flexible devices using inexpensive and environmentally friendly solution-based printing techniques.^{1–6} Tuning of the physical and electro-optical properties of conjugated polymers through chemical modification of their backbone has led to a wide array of promising materials for organic electronics applications. Indeed, with polymer solar cells (PSCs) exhibiting power conversion efficiency (PCE) exceeding 10%,^{7–10} OFETs with hole mobility up to $20 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$,¹¹ and electron mobility as high as $7.0 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$,¹² conjugated polymers now show performance suitable for commercial applications. Among all the new electroactive and photoactive materials developed over the past 20 years, 2,5-dihydropyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4-dione (DPP)-based polymers have been found especially valuable since they deliver high performances in both PSCs and OFETs. For example, PDPPTT, a copolymer based on dithienyl-DPP and thieno[3,2-*b*]thiophene (TT), showed PCE up to 9.4%¹³ and hole mobility up to 10.5 cm^2

$\text{V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.¹⁴ Since the first report on the synthesis of 3,6-diphenyl-DPP by Farnum et al. in 1974,¹⁵ the synthesis and the modulation of the electro-optical properties of DPP copolymers have been extensively studied and reviewed.^{16–27} The flanking aromatic substituents (five- or six-membered-fused or unfused heterocyclic rings) strongly modulate the electro-optical properties. Flanking thiophenes, a five-membered ring, notably have minimal steric effects on the DPP core and lead to coplanar dithienyl-DPP building blocks that are widely used in conjugated polymers. Recently, fluorination of conjugated backbone of D–A copolymers has proven to be effective to enhance the properties of PSC and OFETs.²⁸ The strong electronegativity of fluorine effectively lowers both the HOMO and LUMO energy levels of the fluorinated copolymers without perturbing the planarity of the backbone, thanks to its small van der Waals radius ($r = 0.135 \text{ nm}$). In addition, changes in crystallinity, internal polarization, and morphology of the active layer have also been attributed to fluorination. Up to now, the

Received: June 8, 2017

Revised: September 6, 2017

Scheme 1. Monomers and Polymers Investigated in This Work

best-performing semiconducting polymers incorporate fluorine atoms in their chemical structure.^{29–33} It is believed that fDT-DPP is capable to induce ambipolarity in OFETs, which is specifically attractive for the fabrication of single-semiconductor complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) inverters and light-emitting devices.^{34–40} A single organic semiconductor would facilitate the fabrication of CMOS inverters, and the devices are much more stable in comparison to the semiconductor blends and/or double-layer semiconductor films, which have demonstrated difficulties related to nanomorphological formation in the blended films or coalescing of the bilayers.⁴¹ Ambipolar polymers based on electron acceptors of naphthalenediimide (NDI),^{42–45} benzodifurandione,^{46–49} iso-indigo (IID),^{50–52} and diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP)^{53–60} or its derivatives^{61,62} have been reported; however, there are still only a small number of polymeric semiconductors that display ambipolar characteristics with high and balanced hole and electron mobilities.⁶³

Along these lines, we report for the first time an efficient and reliable procedure for the synthesis of pure 4-fluoro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile, a pivotal component for the synthesis of fluorinated dithienodiketopyrrolopyrrole (fDT-DPP) (Scheme 1). Unlike the work reported by Jiang et al. on

fluorodiphenyl-DPP (fDP-DPP),⁶⁴ we found that the synthesis of fDT-DPP proceeded smoothly using the well-established succinate-based procedure. The fDT-DPP-based copolymers prepared by direct (hetero)arylation polymerization exhibit broad and red-shifted absorption in the UV–vis–NIR region with deeper HOMO energy levels compared to their non-fluorinated analogues. When tested in OFETs, a fDT-DPP copolymer shows an ambipolar behavior with both high hole and electron mobilities whereas other copolymers demonstrated highly interesting photovoltaic properties. Based on these results, fDT-DPP is a promising building block for organic electronics.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Methods. ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian AS400 or Agilent DD2 500 MHz apparatus in deuterated solvents. Chemical shifts were reported as δ values (ppm) relative to the residual protic solvent. The number-average ($\bar{M}_n$) and weight-average ($\bar{M}_w$) molecular weights were determined by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a Malvern HT-GPC equipped with an RI detector. The flow rate was fixed at 0.75 mL/min using 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (TCB) (with 0.0125% BHT w/v) as the eluent. The temperature of the system was set to 140 °C. All the samples were prepared at concentrations of nominally 0.50 mg/mL in TCB. The

sample vials were held at 140 °C with stirring for 1 h for complete dissolution. The calibration method used to generate the reported data was the classical polystyrene method using polystyrene standards which were dissolved in TCB. UV–vis absorption spectra were taken using a Thermo Scientific Genesys 10S spectrophotometer using 1 cm path-length quartz cells. For solid-state measurements, polymer solution was spun-cast on glass plates. Optical bandgaps were calculated from the onset of the absorption band. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded on a Solartron 1287 potentiostat using platinum wires as working electrode and counter electrode at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. The reference electrode was Ag/Ag⁺ (0.01 M AgNO₃ in acetonitrile), and the electrolyte was a solution of 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Bu₄NPF₆) in dry acetonitrile. In these conditions, the oxidation potential of ferrocene was 0.09 V versus Ag/Ag⁺, whereas the oxidation potential of ferrocene was 0.41 V versus saturated calomel electrode (SCE). The HOMO and LUMO energy levels were determined from the oxidation and reduction onsets (where the current differs from the baseline) assuming that SCE electrode is −4.71 eV from vacuum, as reported in the literature.⁶⁵ Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements were carried out with a Mettler Toledo TGA SDTA 851e apparatus at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under a nitrogen atmosphere. The temperature of degradation (*T*_d) corresponds to a 5% weight loss. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analyses were performed on a PerkinElmer DSC-7 instrument calibrated with ultrapure indium at a scanning rate of 10 °C/min under a nitrogen flow. The WAXS diffraction (powder) measurements were done with a Krytalloflex 760 generator (40 kV, 40 mA), a goniometer, and a two-dimensional Hi-Star detector. A sealed tube emitting at 1.5418 Å (copper Kα) nickel-filtered was used as the source. GADDS software was used to control and to perform analysis of all experiments.

2.2. Fabrication and Testing of OFETs. Organic field effect transistors (OFETs) were fabricated on a heavily n⁺-doped Si/SiO₂ substrate with bottom-gate bottom-contact configuration (BGBC). The thermal grown SiO₂ (~300 nm) was used as the gate dielectric and the conductive Si layer functioned as the gate. The gold source/drain contact pairs with a channel length of 30 μm and a channel width of 1000 μm were obtained by conventional photolithography and thermal deposition. The substrate was then plasma treated and cleaned by ultrasonication with acetone and isopropanol, dried with nitrogen, and baked at 120 °C for 1 min. The substrate was then cooled to room temperature, dried, and submerged in a dodecyltrichlorosilane (DDTS) solution (3% in toluene) for 20 min and then rinsed with toluene and dried with nitrogen. Subsequently, a polymer layer was deposited by spin-coating a polymer solution in *o*-dichlorobenzene (*o*-DCB) (10 mg mL^{−1}) at 3000 rpm for 80 s in a glovebox. After annealing at a predetermined temperature in the glovebox filled with nitrogen for 20 min, the devices were characterized in the same glovebox with an Agilent B2912A semiconductor analyzer. The mobility in the saturation region was calculated according to the equation

$$I_{DS} = \frac{W}{2L} C_i \mu (V_{GS} - V_{TH})^2$$

where *I*_{DS} is the drain current, *W* and *L* are the device channel width and length, *C*_i is the gate dielectric layer capacitance per unit area (~11.6 nF cm^{−2}), *μ* is the carrier mobility, and *V*_{GS} and *V*_{TH} are gate voltage and threshold voltage.

2.3. Fabrication and Testing of Hole-Only Space Charge Limited Current Devices. ITO-coated glass was utilized as a substrate. Substrates were cleaned consecutively in ultrasonic baths at 45 °C for 15 min using soapsuds, acetone, and isopropanol followed by 15 min UV-ozone treatment. A thin poly(ethylene-dioxythiophene):polystyrenesulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) layer was spin-coated onto precleaned ITO and used as a bottom electrode. Polymer and polymer:fullerene layers were spin-coated from hot solutions (~110 °C) onto preheated substrates (~110 °C). Devices were left overnight under high vacuum (~5 × 10^{−7} mbar) and were completed by thermally evaporated MoO₃ (7 nm)/Ag (120 nm) layer. SCLC diode (surface area: 1 mm²) current–voltage characteristics were

measured using a Keithley 4200 semiconductor characterization system.

2.4. Fabrication and Testing of Polymer Solar Cells. ITO-coated glass was utilized as a substrate. A ZnO layer (~20–25 nm) was spin-coated from ZnO nanoparticles solution (Nanograde N10) onto precleaned ITO and thermally annealed at 100 °C for 10 min and used as an electron extracting electrode. Active layers were elaborated from *o*-DCB and *o*-DCB/additive (DIO/DPE) solutions using blends of polymers and PC₇₁BM as an electron acceptor material at various weight ratios. The concentrations of solutions were 8 mg/mL with respect to polymer content. Top electrode consisting of MoO₃ (7 nm)/Ag (120 nm) was thermally evaporated under ~5 × 10^{−7} mbar vacuum. Four diodes with a 12 mm² active area were elaborated per substrate. All characterization was done in nitrogen atmosphere under dark and simulated AM1.5G standard irradiation (100 mW/cm², Lot Oriel Sun 3000 solar simulator).

2.5. Materials. 2,3-Dibromothiophene (**1**) and *N*-fluorobenzene-sulfonimide (NFSI) were purchased from Combiblocks. NFSI was recrystallized in diethyl ether prior to use. *n*-Octyldimethylchlorosilane was purchased from Gelest Inc. **P1**,⁶⁶ 2,7-dibromo-9-(heptadecan-9-yl)-9*H*-carbazole (**M1**),⁶⁷ 3,6-bis(thiophen-2-yl)-2,5-bis(decyl)-pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4-dione (**M2**),⁶⁸ 3,6-bis(thiophen-2-yl)-2,5-bis(dodecyl)pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4-dione (**M3**),⁶⁶ 3,6-bis(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-2,5-bis(2-octyldodecyl)pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4-dione (**M4**),⁶⁹ and tris(2-cycloheptyloxyphenyl)phosphine (Bura-Phos)⁷⁰ were synthesized according to procedures reported in the literature.

2.6. Synthesis of Monomers. **2.6.1. Synthesis of 3-Bromo-2-(dimethyloctylsilyl)thiophene (2).** Compound **1** (12.33 g, 50.96 mmol, 1 eq.) was placed in a dried round-bottom flask with a magnetic stirrer and purged on a Schlenk line. Anhydrous diethyl ether (100 mL) was added, and the solution was cooled to −80 °C using an Et₂O/N₂ cooling bath. Then, a solution of *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 20.4 mL, 50.96 mmol, 1 eq.) was added dropwise, and the mixture was left to react for 20 min at −80 °C. After this time, *n*-octyldimethylchlorosilane (13.5 mL, 56.05 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was added rapidly, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and reacted overnight. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted three times with diethyl ether. The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. Purification was achieved by vacuum distillation (bp 110–115 °C at 0.35 mmHg), affording the desired compound as colorless oil (*Y* = 85%). ¹H NMR 500 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.45 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.34–1.25 (m, 12H), 0.91–0.86 (m, 5H), 0.38 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR 126 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 133.9, 132.6, 130.8, 117.4, 33.5, 32.1, 29.4, 23.8, 22.8, 15.5, 14.3, −2.3.

2.6.2. Synthesis of 3-Fluoro-2-(dimethyloctylsilyl)thiophene (3). 3-Bromo-2-(dimethyloctylsilyl)thiophene (**2**) (5.64 g, 16.9 mmol, 1 eq.) and TMEDA (2.8 mL, 1.1 eq.) were placed in a dried round-bottom flask with magnetic stirrer and then purged on a Schlenk line. Anhydrous THF (180 mL) was added, and the solution was cooled to −100 °C in an Et₂O/N₂ cooling bath. Freshly recrystallized NFSI (6.4 g, 20.3 mmol, 1.2 eq.) was solubilized in 60 mL of anhydrous THF in another round-bottom flask under argon and was also cooled to −100 °C. *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexane, 2.8 mL, 17.75 mmol, 1.05 eq.) was added dropwise into the solution of **2**, which was left to react for 25 min at −100 °C. The NFSI solution was added rapidly to the organolithium mixture via a cannula while maintaining the low temperature of both flasks (−100 °C). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was left to react overnight. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted three times with hexanes. The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (silica gel) with hexanes as eluent affording the desired compound as colorless oil (*Y* = 65%). ¹H NMR 500 MHz (acetone-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 7.66 (dd, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (dd, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.40–1.27 (m, 12H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.83–0.80 (m, 2H), 0.31 (s, 6H). ¹⁹F NMR 470 MHz (acetone-*d*₆) δ (ppm): −122.4. ¹³C NMR 101 MHz

(CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 163.7 (d, J = 255.4 Hz), 129.8 (d, J = 8.6 Hz), 118.2 (d, J = 31.3 Hz), 114.4 (d, J = 30.9), 33.5, 32.1, 29.4, 23.8, 22.8, 16.2, 14.3, -2.1.

2.6.3. Synthesis of 4-Fluoro-5-(dimethyloctylsilyl)-2-thiophene-carboxaldehyde (4). Compound 3 (1.45 g, 5.31 mmol, 1 eq.) was placed in a dried round-bottom flask with a magnetic stirrer and purged on a Schlenk line. Anhydrous THF (20 mL) was added, and the solution was cooled to -78 °C. Then, a solution of *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 2.35 mL, 5.84 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was added dropwise, and the mixture was reacted for 20 min at -78 °C. Then, anhydrous DMF (0.9 mL, 10.6 mmol, 2 eq.) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was left to react overnight. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted three times with diethyl ether. The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (silica gel; ethyl acetate/hexanes 10/90 as eluent) affording the desired compound as colorless oil (Y = 80%). ¹H NMR 500 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 9.80 (d, J = 0.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 1.34–1.24 (m, 12H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.0 Hz), 0.83–0.80 (m, 2H), 0.35 (d, J = 0.35 Hz, 6H). ¹⁹F NMR 470 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -116.6. ¹³C NMR 101 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 181.7 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 162.9 (d, J = 258.4 Hz), 144.9 (d, J = 4.7 Hz), 127.8 (d, J = 30.8 Hz), 124.4 (d, J = 28.7 Hz), 33.4, 32, 29.3, 23.6, 22.8, 15.7, -2.4.

2.6.4. Synthesis of 4-Fluoro-2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde (5). Compound 4 (0.60 g, 1.99 mmol, 1 eq.) was placed in a round-bottom flask with a magnetic stirrer and dissolved in THF (2 mL). Then, a solution of an aqueous 2 M HCl (1.1 mL, 1.1 eq.) was added, and the mixture was cooled at 0 °C. TBAF·3H₂O (1 g, 3.2 mmol, 1.6 eq.) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and monitored by TLC (eluent: pentane/diethyl ether 90/10). At the end of reaction (typically 1 h) the crude mixture (no work-up) was poured on silica gel (eluent: pentane/diethyl ether 90/10) to afford the titled compound as white solid (Y = 80%). ¹H NMR 500 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 9.83 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (m, 1H), 7.19 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR 470 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -125.1. ¹³C NMR 101 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 182.2 (d, J = 2.2 Hz), 158.1 (d, J = 262.2 Hz), 141.1 (d, J = 4.9 Hz), 124.3 (d, J = 24.4 Hz), 113.9 (d, J = 20.6 Hz). T_m : 39 °C. Elemental analysis calculated: C, 46.15; H, 2.32; S, 24.64. Found: C, 46.47; H, 2.87; S, 24.65.

2.6.5. Synthesis of 4-Fluoro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile (6). Compound 5 (0.56 g, 4.31 mmol, 1 eq.) was placed in a round-bottom flask with a magnetic stirrer and then dissolved in NMP (2 mL). Hydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.36 g, 5.17 mmol, 1.2 eq.) was added to the solution, and the mixture was heated at 145 °C until complete consumption of starting material (typically 3 h). At the end of reaction the crude mixture (no work-up) was poured on silica gel (eluent: pentane/diethyl ether 90/10) to afford the desired compound as white solid (Y = 80%). ¹H NMR 400 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.37 (dd, J = 1.7, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (dd, J = 1.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR 470 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -125.9. ¹³C NMR 126 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 156.9 (d, J = 261.5 Hz), 126.5 (d, J = 27.1 Hz), 113.4, 111.5 (d, J = 20.9 Hz), 109.3 (d, J = 10.9 Hz). T_m : 37 °C. Elemental analysis calculated: C, 47.23; H, 1.59; N, 11.02; S, 25.22. Found: C, 48.70; H, 2.24; N, 10.35; S, 24.93.

2.6.6. Synthesis of 3,6-(4-Fluorothiophen-2-yl)pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4-dione (7). In a two-neck flask equipped with a condenser and an addition funnel, sodium (0.25 g, 10.8 mmol, 1.2 eq.) was added in 15 mL of 2-methyl-2-butanol, and the mixture was heated at 105 °C until complete consumption of sodium. Then, 4-fluoro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile (6) (1.15 g, 9.04 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 5 mL of 2-methyl-2-butanol was rapidly added into the mixture, and diisopropyl succinate (0.83 mL, 4.7 mmol, 0.45 eq.) was slowly added through the addition funnel. The reaction mixture quickly turned purple. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 105 °C. The reaction was cooled at 65 °C and quenched by an addition of a mixture of methanol (30 mL) and acetic acid (10 mL) followed by heating at 90 °C for 30 min. Then, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered on a Buchner, and washed with methanol to give a purple solid (Y = 75%). ¹H NMR 400 MHz (DMSO) δ (ppm):

11.33 (s, 2H), 8.00 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 2H). ¹⁹F NMR 376 MHz (DMSO) δ (ppm): -127.1. ¹³C NMR 101 MHz (DMSO) δ (ppm): 161.4, 157 (d, J = 256.5 Hz), 136.2, 129.2 (d, J = 9.8 Hz), 119.3 (d, J = 27.5 Hz), 111.4 (d, J = 21.2 Hz), 109.4.

2.6.7. Synthesis of 3,6-(4-Fluorothiophen-2-yl)-2,5-bis(decyl)pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4-dione (M5). In a two-neck flask equipped with a condenser and an addition funnel, compound 7 (0.51 g, 1.51 mmol, 1 eq.) and anhydrous potassium carbonate (1 g, 4.55 mmol, 3 eq.) were stirred in 8 mL of anhydrous DMF. The mixture was heated at 85 °C for 30 min, and then 1-bromodecane (0.632 g, 4.55 mmol, 3 eq.) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 85 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted three times with diethyl ether. The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (silica gel; eluent: chloroform/hexanes 65/35) affording the desired compound as a purple solid (Y = 40%). ¹H NMR 500 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.70 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (dd, J = 1.6, 0.5 Hz, 2H), 4.05–4.01 (m, 4H), 1.76–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.43–1.25 (m, 28H), 0.87 (t, J = 7 Hz, 6H). ¹⁹F NMR 470 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -126. ¹³C NMR 101 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 161.1, 158.7 (d, J = 260.3 Hz), 139.5 (d, J = 3.2 Hz), 128.2 (d, J = 9.3 Hz), 123.9 (d, J = 27.6 Hz), 109.3 (d, J = 21.4 Hz), 108.3, 42.4, 32, 30.1, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 27, 22.8, 14.3.

2.6.8. Synthesis of 3,6-(4-Fluorothiophen-2-yl)-2,5-bis(dodecyl)pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4-dione (M6). M6 was synthesized and purified according to the procedure described for M5 using 1-bromododecane instead of 1-bromodecane affording the desired compound as a purple solid (Y = 43%). ¹H NMR 500 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.69 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (dd, J = 1.6, 0.5 Hz, 2H), 4.05–4.01 (m, 4H), 1.77–1.69 (m, 4H), 1.40–1.25 (m, 36H), 0.87 (t, J = 7 Hz, 6H). ¹⁹F NMR 376 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -126. ¹³C NMR 101 MHz (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 161.1, 158.7 (d, J = 260.2 Hz), 139.5 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 128.2 (d, J = 9.4 Hz), 123.9 (d, J = 27.5 Hz), 109.3 (d, J = 21.5 Hz), 108.3, 42.4, 32.1, 30.1, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 27, 22.8, 14.3.

2.7. Synthesis of Polymers by Direct Heteroarylation Polymerization (DHAP).

2.7.1. Synthesis of P2. M6 (0.082 mmol, 1 eq.), M4 (0.082 mmol, 1 eq.), *trans*-bis(acetato)bis[*o*-(di-*o*-tolylphosphino)benzyl]dipalladium(II) (2% mol), tris(2-methoxyphenyl)phosphine (8% mol), Cs₂CO₃ (3 eq.), and pivalic acid (1 eq.) were put in a microwave vial with a magnetic stirring bar. The vial was sealed with a cap and then purged with nitrogen to remove the oxygen (3 $\times$). Degassed and anhydrous toluene was added (C = 0.2 mol L⁻¹, 0.4 mL), and the microwave vial was heated at 120 °C using a slow temperature ramp. After heating for 16 h, 0.2 mL of degassed and anhydrous toluene was added. Four hours later the reaction was cooled to 65 °C, and then 1 mL of TCB was added. The mixture was poured in methanol/acidified water (10% HCl; 9:1 ratio), and the solid was recovered by filtration using a 0.45 μ m nylon filter. The polymer was washed using a Soxhlet apparatus with acetone, hexanes, dichloromethane, and then chloroform. The chloroform fraction was reduced to 5–10 mL and then poured in methanol. The polymer was recovered by filtration over a 0.45 μ m nylon filter and dry under vacuum (Y = 73%).

2.7.2. Synthesis of P3. M1 (0.167 mmol, 1 eq.), M2 (0.167 mmol, 1 eq.), Pd₂dba₃ (4% mol), tris(2-cycloheptyloxyphenyl)phosphine (BuraPhos) (20% mol), K₂CO₃ (40 eq.), and pivalic acid (1 eq.) were put in a microwave vial with a magnetic stirring bar. The vial was sealed with a cap and then purged with nitrogen to remove the oxygen (3 $\times$). Degassed and anhydrous THF was added (C = 0.1 mol L⁻¹, 1.7 mL), and the reaction was heated with an oil bath preheated at 120 °C (reaction under pressure) until gelation of the reaction mixture (16 h). The reaction was cooled to 65 °C, and then 1 mL of TCB was added. The mixture was poured in methanol/acidified water (10% HCl; 9:1 ratio), and the solid was recovered by filtration using a 0.45 μ m nylon filter. The polymer was washed using a Soxhlet apparatus with acetone, hexanes, and then chloroform. The chloroform fraction was reduced to 5–10 mL and then poured in methanol. The polymer was recovered by filtration over a 0.45 μ m nylon filter and dry under vacuum (Y =

Scheme 2. Synthetic Pathway for Synthesis of 4-Fluoro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile

Figure 1. Computationally calculated Gibbs free energy of the CMD transition state associated with the transition state for the C–H bond cleavage at the α , β , and γ positions.

91%). ^1H NMR 500 MHz (TCE at 100 $^\circ\text{C}$) δ (ppm): 9.03, 8.20, 7.87, 7.65, 4.72, 4.24, 2.41, 2.14, 1.94, 1.58, 1.51, 1.39, 1.25, 0.97, 0.88.

2.7.3. Synthesis of P4. M1 (0.131 mmol, 1 eq.), M5 (0.131 mmol, 1 eq.), Pd(OAc)₂ (4% mol), tris(2-methoxyphenyl)phosphine (16% mol), Cs₂CO₃ (3 eq.), and pivalic acid (1 eq.) were put in a microwave vial with a magnetic stirring bar. The vial was sealed with a cap and then purged with nitrogen to remove the oxygen (3 $\times$). Degassed and anhydrous THF was added ($C = 0.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$, 1.3 mL), and the reaction was heated with an oil bath preheated at 100 $^\circ\text{C}$ (reaction under pressure) until gelation of the reaction mixture (15 min). The reaction was cooled to 65 $^\circ\text{C}$, and then 1 mL of TCB was added. The mixture was poured in methanol/acidified water (10% HCl) (9:1), and the solid was recovered by filtration using a 0.45 μm nylon filter. The polymer was washed using a Soxhlet apparatus with acetone, hexanes, and then chlorobenzene. The chlorobenzene fraction was reduced to 5–10 mL and then poured in methanol. The polymer was recovered by filtration over a 0.45 μm nylon filter and dry under vacuum ($Y = 80\%$).

2.7.4. Synthesis of P5. M1 (0.139 mmol, 1 eq.), M5 (0.139 mmol, 1 eq.), Pd(OAc)₂ (4% mol), tris(2-cycloheptyloxyphenyl)phosphine (BuraPhos) (16% mol), Cs₂CO₃ (3 eq.), and pivalic acid (1 eq.) were put in a microwave vial with a magnetic stirring bar. The vial was sealed with a cap and then purged with nitrogen to remove the oxygen (3 $\times$). Degassed and anhydrous THF was added ($C = 0.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$, 1.4 mL), and the reaction was heated with an oil bath preheated at 100 $^\circ\text{C}$ (reaction under pressure) until gelation of the reaction mixture (30 min). The reaction was cooled to 65 $^\circ\text{C}$, and then 1 mL of TCB was added. The mixture was poured in methanol/acidified water (10% HCl) (9:1), and the solid was recovered by filtration using a 0.45 μm nylon filter. The polymer was washed using a Soxhlet apparatus with acetone, hexanes, and then chlorobenzene. The chlorobenzene fraction was reduced to 5–10 mL and then poured in methanol. The polymer was recovered by filtration over a 0.45 μm nylon filter and dry under vacuum ($Y = 85\%$).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Justification of the Fluorination Position on the Thiophene Moiety. As recently shown by Jiang et al., despite the similar size of fluorine and hydrogen atoms, the fluorination position on the phenyl group led to undesired torsion of the fluorinated diphenyl-DPP core.⁶⁴ While alkylated diphenyl-

DPP is known to be twisted and alkylated dithienyl-DPP is coplanar, one can think that the fluorination position on the thiophene ring would also affect the coplanarity of the resulting fluorinated dithienyl-DPP which can be detrimental for the electro-optical properties. We then performed conformation analyses based on density functional theory to gauge the effect of both the amount and the position of fluorine atoms on the molecular structure of fDT-DPP (see Table S1 in [Supporting Information](#)). The theoretical calculations revealed that when a fluorine atom is pointing toward the DPP core, the coplanarity is lost (DPP-3-F). On the other hand, the coplanarity of the fluorinated dithienyl-DPP is not affected when the fluorine atom is pointing away from the DPP core (DPP-4-F). Owing to these theoretical data, one can think that the synthesis of 4-fluoro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile (compound 6, [Scheme 2](#)) would lead to coplanar fluorinated dithienyl-DPP moiety.

Recently, we have shown that theoretical calculations can also be used to rationalize and predict regioselectivity of the direct heteroarylation polymerization.^{70,71} We performed a similar study using non-fluorinated DT-DPP moiety as reference to probe the effect of both different patterns on the activation energy (Gibbs free energy) of the C–H bond of the thiophene moiety in a catalytic direct heteroarylation polymerization. As shown in [Figure 1](#), for DPP, a difference in the activation energy (ΔE_a) between H $_\alpha$ (24.2 kcal mol⁻¹) and H $_\beta$ (29.0 kcal mol⁻¹) of 4.8 kcal mol⁻¹ was calculated. Using Arrhenius's law, it is possible to tentatively estimate a selectivity ratio of the α -position at 120 $^\circ\text{C}$ (the temperature of polymerization). For this system, a ratio of about 450/1 favoring H $_\alpha$ can indeed be calculated for the DPP unit. Moreover, a difference in the activation energy (ΔE_a) between H $_\alpha$ and H $_\gamma$ for DPP is found to be at 10.3 kcal mol⁻¹ (24.2 kcal mol⁻¹ vs 34.5 kcal mol⁻¹), giving a higher selectivity in favor of H $_\alpha$ (about 530000/1). For DPP-3,4-F, only one C–H bond is available for the concerted metalation–deprotonation (CMD) step in DHAP with an activation energy calculated at 19.4 kcal mol⁻¹. This lower activation energy value, compared to the activation energy of H $_\alpha$ of DPP (24.2 kcal mol⁻¹), shows the

Scheme 3. Synthetic Pathway for Synthesis of Monomers M5 and M6

Table 1. Properties of Polymers

entry	$\bar{M}_n$ (kg mol ⁻¹)	D	yield (%)	reaction time	HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV)	$\lambda_{\text{max,abs}}$ (nm)		E_g^{opt} (eV)	TGA (°C)
							solution	thin film		
P1 ⁶⁶	16	2.4	93	20 h	-5.45	-4.14	820/920	846/927	1.17	n.a
P2	22	3.0	73	20 h	-5.71	-4.04	887/962	859/967	1.15	430
P3	44	3.0	91	16 h	-5.46	-3.82	622/655	630/689	1.68	420
P4	51	3.7	90	15 min	-5.68	-3.97	639/702	639/702	1.65	420
P5	125	3.0	85	30 min	-5.68	-3.97	639/702	639/702	1.65	420

effect of electron-withdrawing fluorine atom on the adjacent C–H bond. For **DPP-3-F** a difference in the activation energy (ΔE_a) between H_α (23.5 kcal mol⁻¹) and H_β (25.5 kcal mol⁻¹) is only of 2.0 kcal mol⁻¹. Here, theoretical calculations show that the electron-withdrawing fluorine atom strongly modifies the activation energy of C– H_β bond (25.5 kcal mol⁻¹) and has a small influence on the C– H_α (23.5 kcal mol⁻¹). Indeed, while the activation energy of H_α is 23.5 kcal mol⁻¹ for **DPP-3-F**, the activation energy of H_β is lowered at 25.5 kcal mol⁻¹ compared to 29 kcal mol⁻¹ for **DPP** which leads to lower selectivity in favor of H_α (15/1) compared to 450/1 for **DPP**. Finally, for **DPP-4-F**, an activation energy of 19.8 kcal mol⁻¹ for H_α and 30.1 kcal mol⁻¹ for H_γ were calculated. In this case, the position of the fluorine atom on the flanking thiophene influences both H_α and H_γ . Indeed, when compared to **DPP**, activation energies are lowered (H_α 19.8 vs 24.2 kcal mol⁻¹; H_γ = 30.1 vs 34.5 kcal mol⁻¹). Although the activation energy of H_γ is decreased by 4.4 kcal mol⁻¹ compared to **DPP**, a selectivity of about 500000/1 in favor of H_α over H_γ was calculated meaning that the polymerization reaction will likely proceed at the α -position and lead to well-defined copolymer. By combining the conformational analyses and the activation energy of each C–H bond, **DPP-4-F** derivatives would be the most useful fluorinated DPP core to obtain well-defined and coplanar conjugated polymer by DHAP.

3.2. Synthesis of Monomers. On the basis of our previous work on fluorinated poly(3-alkylthiophene)s and fluorinated dithiophene–benzothiadiazole,^{71,72} we synthesized 3-bromo-2-(dimethyloctylsilyl)thiophene (**2**) from lithiation of 2,3-dibromothiophene (**1**) in diethyl ether (at -80 °C) with *n*-BuLi followed by treatment with *n*-octyldimethylchlorosilane (Scheme 2). 3-Bromo-2-(dimethyloctylsilyl)thiophene was purified by vacuum distillation and obtained in 85% yield. Subsequent lithiation of **2** at -100 °C in THF followed by a fast addition of NFSI via cannula provided 3-fluoro-2-(dimethyloctylsilyl)thiophene (**3**) in 65% yield. Unlike other fluorothiophene derivatives reported in the literature, which are purified using reverse phase chromatography,^{71–73} compound **3** was purified using silica gel. However, our synthetic procedure led to 3-fluorothiophene derivatives with traces of dehalogenated compound (2-(dimethyloctylsilyl)thiophene) easily

removed by normal phase chromatography. It is worth noting that freshly recrystallized NFSI, control of the reaction temperature (-100 °C) and the use of TMEDA are mandatory to obtain **3** in a good yield. Lithiation of **3** with *n*-BuLi followed by quenching of the reaction with *N,N*-dimethylformamide led to 4-fluoro-5-(dimethyloctylsilyl)-2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde (**4**) in 85% yield. Compound **4** was treated with an excess of tetrabutylammonium fluoride trihydrate (TBAF·3H₂O) in a mixture of THF/HCl at room temperature to afford pure 4-fluoro-2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde (**5**) in 85% yield. Treatment of **5** with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in NMP at 145 °C followed by purification by column chromatography led to 4-fluoro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile (**6**) without any traces of 2-thiophenecarbonitrile, a byproduct observed by El Kassmi et al.⁷⁴ The absence of traces of 2-thiophenecarbonitrile is of paramount importance for the next step that leads to the diketopyrrolopyrrole core with fluorinated flanking thiophene (fDT-DPP) (Scheme 3). Then, 3,6-(4-fluorothiophen-2-yl)-pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4-dione (**7**) was synthesized in one step by consecutive condensation of succinate ester with (**6**) in the presence of sodium alkoxide in 75%. In addition to the modification of the electronic properties of the DPP core, the fluorine atom installed on the 4-position on the flanking thiophene prevents β -branching and activates the C–H bond in α -position for DHAP. Alkylation with either 1-bromodecane or 1-bromododecane led to **M5** and **M6** in 40% and 43% yields, respectively.

3.3. Synthesis and Characterization of Polymers. Fluorinated dithienyl-DPP-based copolymers were prepared by direct (hetero)arylation polymerization following guidelines found in our previous reports investigating reactivity⁷⁵ and selectivity⁷⁰ (Scheme 1). Non-fluorinated **P1** was already reported in the literature.⁶⁶ In all cases, the polymerization reaction was stopped upon gelation of the reaction mixture. After precipitation in methanol, the polymers were purified by successive Soxhlet extractions. We found that the fluorine atom installed on the flanking thiophenes of the DPP moiety led to shorter polymerization times and higher molecular weights. As shown in Table 1, all the fluorinated copolymers have higher molecular weights compared to their non-fluorinated analogues. As an example, **P3** (Scheme 1) exhibits a number-average

Figure 2. UV-vis absorption spectra of **P3–P5** in solution in CHCl_3 (left) and in the solid state (right).

molecular weight of 44 kg mol^{-1} after 16 h of reaction, whereas, using the same polymerization conditions, **P4** reached a number-average molecular weight of 51 kg mol^{-1} after only 15 min of reaction. Recently, we have shown that the use of bulky phosphine-based ligand can increase the selectivity of the DHAP while decreasing the unwanted homocoupling.⁷⁰ **P5** was synthesized using tris(2-cycloheptyloxyphenyl)phosphine (BuraPhos) instead of tris(2-methoxyphenyl)phosphine, and a number-average molecular weight up to 125 kg mol^{-1} was obtained after 30 min. This shorter polymerization time observed for **P4** and **P5** compared to **P3** (see Table 1) can be attributed to the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the fluorine atom on the α C–H bond. The results obtained are in good agreement with the DFT calculations. Fluorinated dithienyl-DPP (fDT-DPP) pseudo-homopolymer (**P2**) was synthesized for OFETs applications while fluorinated-DPP/carbazole copolymers (**P3–P5**) were synthesized for PSCs applications.

Thermal properties were evaluated by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). All polymers exhibit good thermal stability with 5% weight loss at temperature higher than 400°C (see Table 1) while DSC traces did not revealed any thermal transition. NMR spectroscopy was useless to identify any β -branching or homocoupling within the conjugated backbone due to broad and featureless signals. Although a well-resolved ^1H NMR spectrum was obtained for **P3** (TCE at 100°C , see Supporting Information), the fluorinated analogues **P4** and **P5** exhibit strong aggregation and were barely soluble even in TCE at 100°C .

As reported in the literature, the solid-state UV-vis-NIR absorption spectrum of **P1** shows a maximum of absorption at 927 nm with a shoulder at 846 nm and an optical bandgap of 1.17 eV .⁶⁶ For **P2** (fluorinated analogue of **P1**), bathochromic shifts were observed for each the maximum of absorption (40 nm) and the shoulder (13 nm) (see Figure S40 in the Supporting Information). The optical bandgap taken from then onset of the absorption spectrum is 1.15 eV . The effect of the fluorine atom on the optical properties was also observed for **P3–P5** polymers in dilute chloroform solution (Figure 2). Indeed, a strong bathochromic shift (47 nm) of the maximum of absorption was observed for **P4** and **P5** (702 nm) compared to that of **P3** (655 nm). However, in the solid state, a bathochromic shift of 34 nm was observed for **P3** while no such behavior was found for **P4** and **P5**, suggesting strong aggregation in solution. Cyclic voltammetry analyses were performed to gauge the influence of the fluorine atom on the electronic properties (see Figures S1 and S2). We observed a decrease of both HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) and LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) energy levels (see Table 1).²⁸ For example, the HOMO of **P4** and **P5** was stabilized by 0.22 eV compared to **P3** while the LUMO

decreased from -3.82 eV (**P3**) to -3.97 eV (**P4**, **P5**). X-ray diffraction analyses (powder) were also performed (see Figures S37–S39). Typical d -spacing and lamellar distances for conjugated polymers were found for each fDT-DPP copolymer.

3.4. OFETs. To evaluate the semiconducting properties of **P2**, we prepared bottom-gate/bottom-contact (BGBC) OFETs devices. All spin-coating processes were carried out under nitrogen, and the active channel layers were annealed at different temperatures for 20 min under nitrogen prior to measurements. OFET characteristics of the devices were measured under nitrogen conditions, and the field-effect mobility was extracted from the saturation regimes. **P2** displayed ambipolar properties, and the device performance data are outlined in Table 2 and compared with previously

Table 2. OFETs Performances

	p-channel		n-channel	
	μ_{h}^a ($\text{cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	$I_{\text{on}}/I_{\text{off}}^b$	μ_{e}^a ($\text{cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	$I_{\text{on}}/I_{\text{off}}^b$
P1 ⁶⁶	0.22^c	10^3	$0.19^{d,e}$	n.a
P2	0.80^c (0.73)	10^3	0.51^c (0.50)	10^2

^aMaximum mobilities measured under nitrogen in saturated regime. The average values are in parentheses. ^bCurrent on/off ratio. ^cBottom gate bottom contact configuration (BGBC), where the **P2** films were annealed at 100°C for 20 min in nitrogen. ^dTop gate bottom contact configuration (TGBC).

reported **P1**.⁶⁶ Figure 3 shows the transfer and output characteristics of the BGBC devices based on **P2**. The output characteristics follow a typical trend exhibited by ambipolar devices where a superlinear increase in current is observed at low V_{GS} and high V_{DS} , which is due to the injection of the opposite charge carrier, and superposed standard saturation behavior with increasing V_{GS} for the dominant charge carrier. From the transfer characteristics, we observe V-shaped I_{DS} patterns. As presented in Table 2, **P2** exhibited high mobilities with the maxima μ_{h} and μ_{e} of $0.80 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $0.51 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. The hole and electron mobilities are quite balanced with an average $\mu_{\text{e}}/\mu_{\text{h}}$ of 0.68. In all cases, forward and backward scans in the transfer and output curves exhibited pronounced hysteresis of I_{DS} , which is frequently observed for OFETs due to trapping of the charge carriers in the gate dielectrics, at the interface of the active channel layer and dielectric, or in the active channel layer.^{76–78}

3.5. PSCs. As mentioned earlier, DPP-carbazole copolymers were investigated as electron donor in bulk heterojunction solar cells. Out-of-plane hole mobilities have been measured by using hole-only space-charge limited current (SCLC) devices (see Figure S41). For pure polymer films, the hole mobilities are found to be slightly higher in fluorinated polymers ($(1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$ and $(2.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for **P4** and **P5**,

Figure 3. Transfer (left) and output (right) characteristics of a typical BGBC OFET device with **P2** as the channel semiconductor, which show ambipolar behavior. The **P2** film was annealed at 100 °C for 20 min in nitrogen.

respectively) compared to the non-fluorinated **P3** polymer ($(5.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). These moderate mobility values are sufficient to consider these polymers, and especially the fluorinated derivatives, as interesting electron-donor candidates in BHJ solar cells. **P3–P5** polymers have been characterized in blends with [6,6]-phenyl C_{71} -butyric acid methyl ester (PC_{70}BM) as electron-acceptor using an inverted device structure. The current density–voltage (J – V) characteristics of the solar cells measured under simulated AM 1.5G irradiation (with intensity of 100 mW cm^{-2}) are shown in Figure 4. The PSC parameters are summarized in Table 3. For

Figure 4. J – V characteristics measured in the dark (closed symbols) and under standard (AM1.5G 100 mW/cm^2) conditions (open symbols) for **P3** (squares), **P4** (triangles), **P5** with DIO (circles), and **P5** with DPE (diamonds).

Table 3. Best Photovoltaic Parameters Measured with Different Polymers^a

polymer	additive	V_{oc} (mV)	J_{sc} (mA/cm ²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
P3	DIO	807	8.63	44.1	3.07 (3.0)
P4	DIO	854	8.57	57.7	4.23 (4.1)
P5	DIO	845	11.4	65.9	6.37 (6.2)
P5	DPE	828	13.6	65.8	7.47 (7.3)

^aValues in parentheses are average PCE values.

both fluorinated polymers (**P4** and **P5**), using DIO as additive, the open-circuit voltage (V_{oc}) is slightly higher (by roughly 50 mV) than for the non-fluorinated polymers, in good agreement with the experimental HOMO energy levels reported in Table 1. The PCEs for fluorinated polymers are higher than those obtained for the non-fluorinated analogue. The best results are obtained for the high molecular weight polymer **P5**, with significantly larger short-circuit current densities (J_{sc}) and fill factors (FF). The average external quantum efficiencies (EQE), measured on the best-performing devices (Figure 5), follow the same trend. The J_{sc} values estimated from the EQE spectra are in line with those measured under AM1.5 illumination. The noticeable difference in FF between non-fluorinated and fluorinated polymers correlates well with the higher out-of-plane mobility of **P4** and **P5**, which allows improved charge collection. Interestingly, the polymer molecular weight turns out to have a dramatic impact on the solar cell performances despite its minor influence on the SCLC mobility. The increase in PCE is mostly due to the higher J_{sc} of **P5** based devices and to a slightly larger FF. This suggests that the molecular weight affects mostly the polymer/fullerene interface, at which charge generation occurs. The further PCE enhancement observed upon using a different additive (DPE versus DIO) supports this conclusion as the latter is expected to influence principally the blend morphology. The final maximum PCE of 7.47% is among the highest values reported so far for conjugated polymers prepared by direct heteroarylation polymerization.

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have developed an efficient synthetic procedure for the synthesis of new fluorinated dithienyl-DPP (fDT-DPP) monomers and copolymers. Two classes of conjugated copolymers have been prepared by direct heteroarylation polymerization (DHAP) and tested in OFETs and PSCs. We have shown that fluorinated DPP pseudo-homopolymer exhibits an ambipolar behavior in OFETs while fDT-DPP/carbazole copolymers show higher PCE than their non-fluorinated analogue. On the basis of these first examples, it is obvious that this new fDT-DPP moiety will lead to the development of new and high-performing materials for organic electronics devices.

Figure 5. External quantum efficiency measured for P3 (squares), P4 (triangles) P5 with DIO (circles), and P5 with DPE (diamonds).

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.macromol.7b01198.

Figures S1–S41 (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: Mario.Leclerc@chm.ulaval.ca (M.L.).

ORCID

Yuning Li: 0000-0003-3679-8133

Mario Leclerc: 0000-0003-2458-9633

Author Contributions

T. Bura and S. Beaupré contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), Université Laval (APOGÉE, Sentinelle Nord), the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research (CIFAR), and the European Fund for Regional Development (FEDER) within the framework of the program INTERREG V Rhin Supérieur and under the project no. 3.10 (PROOF) for their support. Compute Canada and Calcul Québec are also thanked for access to computational facilities. C. Roy is acknowledged for his help in the synthesis of some synthetic precursors.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Lu, L.; Zheng, T.; Wu, Q.; Schneider, A. M.; Zhao, D.; Yu, L. Recent Advances in Bulk Heterojunction Polymer Solar Cells. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 12666–12731.

(2) Etzbarria, I.; Ajuria, J.; Pacios, R. Solution-processable polymeric solar cells: A review on materials, strategies and cell architectures to overcome 10%. *Org. Electron.* **2015**, *19*, 34–60.

(3) Sondergaard, R.; Hösel, M.; Angmo, D.; Larsen-Olsen, T. T.; Krebs, F. C. Roll-to-roll fabrication of polymer solar cells. *Mater. Today* **2012**, *15*, 36–49.

(4) Hösel, M.; Dam, H. F.; Krebs, F. C. Development of Lab-to-Fab Production Equipment Across Several Length Scales for Printed Energy Technologies, Including Solar Cells. *Energy Technol.* **2015**, *3*, 293–301.

(5) Tsai, P. T.; Tsai, C. Y.; Wang, C. M.; Chang, Y. F.; Meng, H. F.; Chen, Z. K.; Lin, H. W.; Zan, H. W.; Horng, S. F.; Lai, Y. C.; Yu, P. High-efficiency polymer solar cells by blade coating in chlorine-free solvents. *Org. Electron.* **2014**, *15*, 893–903.

(6) Berny, S.; Blouin, N.; Distler, A.; Egelhaaf, H. J.; Krompiec, M.; Lohr, A.; Lozman, O. R.; Morse, G. E.; Nanson, L.; Pron, A.; Sauermann, T.; Seidler, N.; Tierney, S.; Tiwana, P.; Wagner, M.; Wilson, H. Solar Trees: First Large-Scale Demonstration of Fully Solution Coated, Semitransparent, Flexible Organic Photovoltaic Modules. *Adv. Sci.* **2016**, *3*, 1500342.

(7) Zhang, S.; Ye, L.; Hou, J. Breaking the 10% Efficiency Barrier in Organic Photovoltaics: Morphology and Device Optimization of well-Known PBDTTT Polymers. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2016**, *6*, 1502529.

(8) Ouyang, X.; Peng, R.; Ai, L.; Zhang, X.; Ge, Z. Efficient polymer solar cells employing a non-conjugated small electrolyte. *Nat. Photonics* **2015**, *9*, 520–524.

(9) Zhou, H.; Zhang, Y.; Mai, C. K.; Collins, S. D.; Bazan, G. C.; Nguyen, T. Q.; Heeger, A. J. Polymer homo-tandem solar cells with best efficiency of 11.3%. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 1767–1777.

(10) Zhao, W.; Li, S.; Yao, H.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, B.; Hou, J. Molecular Optimization enables over 13% in Organic Solar Cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 7148–7151.

(11) Kang, I.; Yun, H. J.; Chung, D. S.; Kwon, S. K.; Kim, Y. H. Record High Hole Mobility in Polymer Semiconductors via Side-Chain Engineering. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 14896–14899.

(12) Yun, H. J.; Kang, S. J.; Xu, Y.; Kim, S. O.; Kim, Y. H.; Noh, Y. Y.; Kwon, S. K. Dramatic Inversion of Charge Polarity in Diketopyrrolopyrrole-Based Organic Field-effect Transistors via Simple Nitrile Group Substitution. *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 7300–7307.

(13) Choi, H.; Ko, S. J.; Kim, T.; Morin, P. O.; Walker, B.; Lee, B. H.; Leclerc, M.; Kim, J. Y.; Heeger, A. J. Small-Bandgap Polymer Solar

Polymer Synthesized Via Direct Arylation Polycondensation. *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1606217.

(51) Yue, W.; He, T.; Stolte, M.; Gsänger, M.; Würthner, F. Cyanated isoindigos for n-type and ambipolar organic thin film transistors. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 545–547.

(52) Yue, W.; Nikolka, M.; Xiao, M.; Sadhanala, A.; Nielsen, C. B.; White, A. J. P.; Chen, H. Y.; Onwubiko, A.; Sirringhaus, H.; McCulloch, I. Azaisoindigo conjugated polymers for high performance n-type and ambipolar thin film transistor applications. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2016**, *4*, 9704–9710.

(53) Mukhopadhyay, T.; Puttaraju, B.; Senanayak, S. P.; Sadhanala, A.; Friend, R.; Faber, H. A.; Anthopoulos, T. D.; Salzner, U.; Meyer, A.; Patil, S. Air-Stable n-channel Diketopyrrolopyrrole-Diketopyrrolopyrrole Oligomers for High Performance Ambipolar Organic Transistors. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 25415–25427.

(54) Lin, G.; Qin, Y.; Zhang, J.; Guan, Y. S.; Xu, H.; Xu, W.; Zhu, D. Ambipolar organic field-effect transistors based on diketopyrrolopyrrole derivatives containing different π -conjugated spacers. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2016**, *4*, 4470–4477.

(55) Jiang, T.; Xue, Z.; Ford, M.; Shaw, J.; Cao, X.; Tao, Y.; Hu, Y.; Huang, W. An ultra-low bandgap diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP)-based polymer with balanced ambipolar charge transport for organic field-effect transistors. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 78720–78726.

(56) Ji, Y.; Xiao, C.; Heintges, G. H. L.; Wu, Y.; Janssen, R. A. J.; Zhang, D.; Hu, W.; Wang, Z.; Li, W. Conjugated polymer with ternary electron-deficient units for ambipolar nanowire field-effect transistors. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *54*, 34–38.

(57) Shahid, M.; McCarthy-Ward, T.; Labram, J.; Rossbauer, S.; Domingo, E. B.; Watkins, S. E.; Stingelin, N.; Anthopoulos, T. D.; Heeney, M. Low band gap selenophene-diketopyrrolopyrrole polymers exhibiting high and balanced ambipolar performance in bottom-gate transistors. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 181–185.

(58) Sun, B.; Hong, W.; Aziz, H.; Li, Y. A pyridine-flanked diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP)-based donor-acceptor polymer showing high mobility in ambipolar and n-channel organic thin film transistors. *Polym. Chem.* **2015**, *6*, 938–945.

(59) Chen, Z.; Gao, D.; Huang, J.; Mao, Z.; Zhang, W.; Yu, G. Thiazole-Flanked Diketopyrrolopyrrole Polymeric Semiconductors for Ambipolar Field-Effect Transistors with Balanced Carrier Mobilities. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 34725–34734.

(60) Kim, J.; Han, A. R.; Hong, J.; Kim, G.; Lee, J.; Shin, T. J.; Oh, J. H.; Yang, C. Ambipolar Semiconducting Polymers with π -Spacer Linked Bis-Benzothiadiazole Blocks as Strong Accepting Units. *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 4933–4942.

(61) Gsänger, M.; Bialas, D.; Huang, L.; Stolte, M.; Würthner, F. Organic Semiconductors based on Dyes and Color Pigments. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 3615–3645.

(62) Zhao, Y.; Guo, Y.; Liu, Y. 25th Anniversary Article: Recent Advances in n-Type and Ambipolar Organic Field-Effect Transistors. *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 5372–5391.

(63) Lu, G.; Kong, X.; Ma, P.; Wang, K.; Chen, Y.; Jiang, J. Amphiphilic (Phthalocyaninato) (Porphyrinato) Europium Triple-Decker Nanoribbons with Air-Stable Ambipolar OFET Performance. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 6174–6182.

(64) Jiang, B.; Du, C. C.; Li, M. J.; Gao, K.; Kou, L.; Chen, M.; Liu, F.; Russell, T. P.; Wang, H. Synthesis of fluorinated diphenyl-diketopyrrolopyrrole derivatives as new building blocks for conjugated copolymers. *Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *7*, 3311–3324.

(65) Thompson, B. C.; Kim, Y. G.; Reynolds, J. R. Spectral Broadening in MEH-PPV:PCBM-Based Photovoltaic Devices via Blending with a Narrow Band Gap Cyanovinylene-Dioxythiophene Polymer. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 5359.

(66) Pouliot, J. R.; Sun, B.; Leduc, M.; Najari, A.; Li, Y.; Leclerc, M. A High Mobility DPP-Based Polymer Obtained via Direct(hetero)-arylation Polymerization. *Polym. Chem.* **2015**, *6*, 278–282.

(67) Blouin, N.; Michaud, A.; Gendron, D.; Wakim, S.; Neagu-Plesu, R.; Belletête, M.; Durocher, G.; Tao, Y.; Leclerc, M. Towards a Rational Design of Poly(2,7-carbazole) Derivatives for Solar Cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 732–742.

(68) Zou, Y.; Gendron, D.; Badrou-Aïch, R.; Najari, A.; Tao, Y.; Leclerc, M. A High-Mobility Low-Bandgap Poly(2,7-carbazole) Derivative for Photovoltaic Applications. *Macromolecules* **2009**, *42*, 2891–2894.

(69) Ha, J. S.; Kim, K. H.; Choi, D. H. 2,5-Bis(2-octyldodecyl)-pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4-(2*H*,5*H*)-dione-Based Donor–Acceptor Alternating Copolymer Bearing 5,5'-Di(thiophen-2-yl)-2,2'-biselenophene Exhibiting $1.5\text{ cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ Hole Mobility in Thin-Film Transistors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 10364–10367.

(70) Bura, T.; Beaupré, S.; Légaré, M. A.; Quinn, J.; Rochette, E.; Blaskovits, J. T.; Fontaine, F. G.; Pron, A.; Li, Y.; Leclerc, M. Direct Heteroarylation Polymerization: Guidelines for Defect-Free Conjugated Polymers. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 3913–3925.

(71) Roy, C.; Bura, T.; Beaupré, S.; Légaré, M. A.; Sun, J.-P.; Hill, I. G.; Leclerc, M. Fluorinated Thiophene-Based Synthons: Polymerization of 1,4-dialkoxybenzene and Fluoro-Dithieno-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole by Direct Heteroarylation. *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50*, 4658–4667.

(72) Blaskovits, J. T.; Bura, T.; Beaupré, S.; Lopez, S.; de Goes Soares, J.; Oh, A.; Quinn, J.; Li, Y.; Aspuru-Guzik, A.; Leclerc, M. A Study of the Degree of Fluorination in Regioregular Poly(3-hexylthiophene). *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50*, 162–174.

(73) Fei, F.; Boufflet, P.; Wood, S.; Wade, J.; Moriarty, J.; Gann, E.; Ratcliff, E. L.; McNeill, C. R.; Sirringhaus, H.; Kim, J.-S.; Heeney, M. Influence of Backbone Fluorination in Regioregular Poly(3-alkyl-4-fluoro)thiophenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 6866–6879.

(74) El Kassmi, A.; Fache, F.; Lemaire, M. A. Convenient Synthesis of 3-Fluorothiophene. *Synth. Commun.* **1994**, *24*, 95–101.

(75) Grenier, F.; Goudreau, K.; Leclerc, M. Robust Direct(hetero)-arylation Polymerization in Biphasic Conditions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 2816–2824.

(76) Tsai, T. D.; Chang, J. W.; Wen, T. C.; Guo, T. F. Manipulating the Hysteresis in Poly(vinyl alcohol)-Dielectric Organic Field-Effect Transistors Toward Memory Elements. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2013**, *23*, 4206–4214.

(77) Ucurum, C.; Goebel, H.; Yildirim, F. A.; Bauhofer, W.; Krautschneider, W. Hole trap related hysteresis in pentacene field-effect transistors. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2008**, *104*, 084501.

(78) Egginger, M.; Bauer, S.; Schwödiauer, R.; Neugebauer, H.; Sariciftci, N. S. Current versus gate voltage hysteresis in organic field effect transistors. *Monatsh. Chem.* **2009**, *140*, 735–750.