Specific implications of the HIV-1 nucleocapsid zinc fingers in the annealing of the primer binding site complementary sequences during the obligatory plus strand transfer
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ABSTRACT

Synthesis of the HIV-1 viral DNA by reverse transcriptase involves two obligatory strand transfer reactions. The second strand transfer corresponds to the annealing of the (−) and (+) DNA copies of the primer binding site (PBS) sequence which is chaperoned by the nucleocapsid protein (NCp7). NCp7 modifies the (+)/(−) PBS annealing mechanism by activating a loop–loop kissing pathway that is negligible without NCp7. To characterize in depth the dynamics of the loop in the NCp7/PBS nucleoprotein complexes, we investigated the time-resolved fluorescence parameters of a (−)PBS derivative containing the fluorescent nucleoside analogue 2-aminopurine at positions 6, 8 or 10. The NCp7-directed switch of (+/−)PBS annealing towards the loop pathway was associated to a drastic restriction of the local DNA dynamics, indicating that NCp7 can ‘freeze’ PBS conformations competent for annealing via the loops. Moreover, the modifications of the PBS loop structure and dynamics that govern the annealing reaction were found strictly dependent on the integrity of the zinc finger hydrophobic platform. Our data suggest that the two NCp7 zinc fingers are required to ensure the specificity and fidelity of the second strand transfer, further underlining the pivotal role played by NCp7 to control the faithful synthesis of viral HIV-1 DNA.

INTRODUCTION

Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) viral DNA synthesis is a complex multi-step process catalysed by the viral reverse transcriptase (RT). Synthesis of the complete viral DNA with long terminal repeats (LTR) requires two obligatory DNA transfer reactions. During the first strand transfer, the minus-strand strong-stop DNA [(−)ssDNA] is translocated to the 3′-end of the viral RNA genome through a reaction mediated by base pairing of the repeat sequences at the 3′-ends of the RNA and cDNA reactants, which in turn allows reverse transcription to resume and to continue up to the 5′-end of the primer binding site (PBS), (−) PBS. The second or plus strand transfer relies on (+)PBS annealing to the (+)PBS sequence located in the plus strand strong-stop DNA [(+)ssDNA] (1,2). The annealing of these two complementary PBS DNA stem–loops (Figure 1) enables RT to resume and complete viral DNA synthesis. These two obligatory strand transfers are chaperoned by the HIV-1 nucleocapsid protein (NCp7), a potent nucleic acid chaperone that plays major roles in the viral replication cycle (3–6). The mature NCp7 is a 55 amino acids protein encoded by the HIV-1 Gag polyprotein (Figure 1). It contains two highly conserved CCHC zinc fingers (ZFs) that coordinate zinc ions with high affinity (7). The two-folded ZFs are connected by a highly conserved basic sequence and flanked by N- and C-terminal basic domains. NCp7 binds PBS sequences with good affinity (8,9) and catalyses the annealing reactions of the complementary (+)/(−)PBS sequences (10,11) via its ability to...
chaperone the rearrangement of nucleic acids into their most thermodynamically stable conformations (6,12). In the absence of NCp7, (+)PBS can spontaneously anneal to (−)PBS in vitro (10). This annealing reaction proceeds mainly through the single-strand overhangs of the PBS sequences while nucleation through loop–loop interaction appears negligible (Figure 2). Interestingly, NCp7 was found to enhance the (−)/(+)PBS annealing kinetics by about 60-fold by strongly promoting the loop pathway, which thus becomes the major pathway (10). This switch in the annealing mechanism probably arises due to significant changes in the loop structure and/or dynamics of PBS upon NCp7 binding.

In agreement with this, structural changes of the loop were evidenced by solving the structure of NCp7 complexed to NC(11-55), a (+)PBS derivative without the 3′ protruding sequence (13). NCp7 was shown to preferentially bind to the 5′-end of the loop and the upper part of the stem. This binding is mediated by the hydrophobic plateau, involving the Val13, Phe16, Thr24, Ala25, Trp37, Gln45 and Met46 residues present on the top of the folded ZFs (14–16). The Phe16 and Trp37 residues insert between the T6 and G7 bases, allowing a tight stacking of the Trp37 residue with G7 (17), as well as the flipping of the T6 and G7 bases towards the exterior of the loop. Through this binding, NCp7 also stretches the loop, which increases the accessibility of the 8-TTC-10 nucleobases and slightly destabilizes the upper base pair (between 5-C and G-11) of the stem. A second binding site including the 10-CGG-12 segment was also identified but its structure with NCp7 could not be solved. As a consequence of the structural changes in PBS, NCp7 is thought to favour the PBS loop kissing interactions and help in disrupting their stem to convert the kissing complex into the final extended duplex.

To further characterize the molecular mechanism and protein determinants responsible for the (−)/(+)PBS annealing chaperoned by NCp7, we investigated the annealing mechanism by using PBS mutants and monitored the thermodynamic and kinetic parameters in presence of various NCp7 mutants. We also investigated the dynamic changes of both (−)PBS and (+)PBS loops in response to NCp7 binding by using PBS sequences labelled with 2-aminopurine (2-Ap), an environment-sensitive fluorescent analogue of adenine (18). We found that the integrity of ZFs was critical to modify the structure and restrict the dynamics of both PBS loops as well as to switch the annealing mechanism towards the loop–loop kissing pathway, leading to a switch in the reaction mechanism.

Figure 1. Sequences of the oligonucleotides and peptides used in this study.

Figure 2. Mechanism for (−)/(+)PBS annealing as proposed by Ramalanjaona et al. (10). The (−)/(+)PBS annealing reaction can proceed through two major pathways. In these pathways, the final extended duplex can be nucleated either through the single-stranded overhangs (upper pathway) or through loop–loop interactions (lower pathway). In the absence of NCp7, the upper pathway is by far the most contributing one. NCp7 strongly activates the loop–loop kissing pathway, leading to a switch in the reaction mechanism.
pathway. The basic domains of NCp7 were able to modulate the annealing rate constants, but unable to modify the annealing mechanism. Thus, our data indicated that the hydrophobic plateau at the top of the folded ZFs is needed to direct the formation of competent PBS loop conformations for the annealing reaction, which ensures the specificity of the second strand transfer reaction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The NCp7, NC(11–55), (SSHS)2NC(11–55), L37NC(11–55) and A16NC(11–55) peptides were synthesized on an Applied Biosystems A433 peptide synthesizer, as described (19,20). Unmodified or labelled oligonucleotides (ODNs) were synthesized and HPLC- or PAGE-purified by IBA Gmbh Nucleic Acids Product Supply (Germany). A 2′-deoxyribose-2-aminopurine (2-Ap) was selectively introduced at different positions (6, 7 or 10) within the ΔP(−)PBS loop and at position 11 of ΔP(+)PBS, substituting the corresponding natural base. Doubly labelled (+)PBS sequences were modified at their 5′-terminus with 6-carboxyfluorescein (Rh6G) and their 3′-terminus with 4-(4′-dimethylaminophenylazo)benzoic acid (DABCYL), via an amino linker with a six carbon spacer arm. All experiments were performed in 25 mM TRIS–HCl, pH 7.5, 30 mM NaCl and 0.2 mM MgCl2 at 20°C, unless specified otherwise.

Steady-state fluorescence spectroscopy

Fluorescence emission spectra were recorded with a Fluorolog or a Fluoromax-3 spectrofluorimeter (Jobin Yvon) equipped with a thermostated cell compartment. All fluorescence intensities were corrected for screening effects, buffer emission and lamp fluctuations. The quantum yield was calculated using free 2-Ap riboside as a reference [quantum yield = 0.68 (18)]. 2-Ap was excited at 315 nm.

Kinetics of (−)/(+)PBS annealing was monitored in real-time using fluorescent doubly labelled (+)PBS sequences and non-labelled (−)PBS. Excitation and emission wavelengths were at 520 nm and 550 nm, respectively, to monitor the fluorescence restoration of Rh6G resulting from the formation of the (−)/(+)PBS duplex. Concentrations of 5′Rh6G(+)PBS-3′DABCYL and (−)PBS were 10 nM and 100 nM to 1.1 μM, respectively, to ensure pseudo-first order conditions. Both reactants (in identical volumes) coated by NC peptides were mixed together to trigger the reaction. The apparent rate constants \( k_{obs} \) were determined from the kinetic data, as previously described (10). All fitting procedures were carried out with the Microcal Origin 6.1 software based on non-linear least-squares methods, applying the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm.

Time-resolved fluorescence measurements

Time-resolved fluorescence measurements were performed with the time correlated, single-photon counting technique. Excitation pulses were generated by a pulse-picked frequency-tripled Ti:sapphire laser (Tsunami, Spectra Physics) pumped by a Millenia X laser (Spectra Physics) (21). Excitation wavelength was set at 315 nm, with a repetition rate of 4 MHz. The fluorescence emission was collected through a polarizer set at magic angle and a 16 nm band-pass monochromator (Jobin Yvon) at 370 nm. The single-photon events were detected with a micro-channel plate photomultiplier (Hamamatsu) either coupled to a pulse pre-amplifier (Philips) and recorded on a multi-channel analyser (Ortec) calibrated at 25.5 ps/channel or coupled to a pulse pre-amplifier HFAC (Becker-Hickl) and recorded on a SPC-130 board (Becker-Hickl). The instrumental response function (IRF) was recorded using a polished aluminium reflector, and its full-width at half-maximum was ~4 ps. The mean lifetime (\( \tau \)) was calculated from the individual fluorescence lifetimes (\( \tau_i \)) and their relative amplitudes (\( \alpha_i \)) according to \( \alpha_i \). The population, \( \alpha_0 \), of dark species of 2-Ap was calculated by: \( \alpha_0 = 1 - \tau_{free} / (\tau_{ODN} \times R_m) \), where \( \tau_{free} \) is the lifetime of the free 2-Ap, \( \tau_{ODN} \) is the measured mean lifetime of 2-Ap within the ODN and \( R_m \) is the ratio of their corresponding quantum yields. The remaining amplitudes, \( \alpha_i \), were recalculated from the measured amplitudes according to \( \alpha_i = \alpha_0 \times (1 - \alpha_0) \).

Time-resolved anisotropy, resulting from the measurement of the fluorescence decay curves recorded in directions parallel (\( I_j \)) and perpendicular (\( I_o \)) alternatively, to the excitation beam polarization, was analysed by the following equations:

\[
I_j(t) = \frac{I(t)[1+2r(t)]}{3}
\]

\[
I_o(t) = \frac{I(t)[1-2r(t)]}{3}
\]

\[
r(t) = \frac{I_j(t) - G \times I_o(t)}{I_j(t) + 2G \times I_o(t)} = n_0 \sum_i \beta_i \times \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\Phi_i}\right)
\]

where \( \beta_i \) are the amplitudes of the rotational correlation times \( \Phi_i \) and \( G \) is the geometry factor at the emission wavelength, determined in independent experiments. Theoretical values of the rotational correlation times and the values of the cone semi-angle \( \theta_0 \) of the local motion of 2-Ap were calculated as described in the Supplementary Data. Time-resolved intensity and anisotropy data were treated according to the maximum entropy method (Pulse 5 software) (22,23) or according to a non-linear least-square analysis using a homemade software (kindly provided by G. Krishnamoorthy). In all cases, the \( \chi^2 \) values were close to 1 and the weighted residuals as well as their autocorrelation were distributed randomly around 0, indicating an optimal fit.

RESULTS

Characterization of the ΔP(−)PBS and ΔP(+)PBS sequences labelled with 2-Ap

In the ΔP(−)PBS, 2-Ap was introduced either within (2-AP6) or adjacent to (2-AP8) to the critical 5-CTG-7 segment of the major binding site for NCp7 at the 5′...
end of the loop, or within the low affinity binding site (2-Ap10) at the 3' end of the loop (Figure 1). Since 2-Ap is able to locally report on the dynamics of the ODN sequence in which it is inserted, these three substitutions were aimed to site-specifically probe the two binding sites. The quantum yield of the 2-Ap residues inserted in ΔP(−)PBS was largely reduced as compared to the free probe (18) (Table 1), indicating a strong fluorescence quenching by its neighbouring bases (24). The quenching was the most pronounced for 2-Ap at position 10, suggesting a strong stacking with G11. This inferred stacking is in full line with the stacking of C10 with G11 according to NMR analyses (13), indicating that substitution of C10 by 2-Ap likely preserves the local structure of the loop. Taking into account that each 2-Ap was flanked by a guanine, the most efficient quencher of 2-Ap among the bases, and notably with the G7 base, are lowered. This is so that efficient collisions of the 2-Ap with its neighbour again in line with the NMR data (13) since the T8 base in full line with the stacking of C10 with G11 according to (27), as a striking difference between the mean lifetimes of 2-Ap labelled ODNs and their corresponding quantum yields was observed. Indeed, the mean lifetimes were found to be only 4–6.5 times shorter than the 10.2 ns lifetime of the free 2-Ap, while their quantum yields differed by factors 25–155. These dark-species were previously shown to result from ultra-fast dynamic quenching (29).

Dark-species and weakly emitting species were by far the most populated conformations since they represented ~90% of the 2-Ap conformations, as it could be seen from the sum of the α0 and α1 amplitudes (Table 1). This efficient dynamic quenching of 2-Ap by its neighbour bases can be related to conformational fluctuations of the loop in the picosecond–nanosecond (ps–ns) range, allowing quenching of 2-Ap through a charge transfer mechanism (29–32). The stacked conformations arose to 98% for 2-Ap10, further confirming a strong stacking with G11. The values of the longer lived lifetime τ4, associated to extra-helical or unstacked conformations, were close to the lifetime of free 2-Ap, but low populated, representing from 1% to 4% of the whole conformations (Table 1). The high value of the long-lived τ4 lifetime confirmed the limited flexibility of the (−)PBS loop, since in short fluctuations of the loop occurred during the excited state of the 2-Ap residues. These decays were best fitted with four discrete lifetime components, ranging from 0.1 ns to nearly 9 ns (Table 1), indicating that 2-Ap experienced at least four conformational states. Moreover, additional conformations associated to ultra-short-lived lifetimes below the time resolution of our set-up have to be considered (27), as a striking difference between the mean lifetimes of 2-Ap labelled ODNs and their corresponding quantum yields was observed. Indeed, the mean lifetimes were found to be only 4–6.5 times shorter than the 10.2 ns lifetime of the free 2-Ap, while their quantum yields differed by factors 25–155. These dark-species were previously shown to result from ultra-fast dynamic quenching (29).

The time-resolved intensity decays of the 2-Ap-labelled ODNs were complex, showing that conformational Table 1. Steady-state and time-resolved fluorescence parameters of 2-Ap-substituted ΔP(−)PBS and ΔP(+)+PBS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantum yield</th>
<th>α0</th>
<th>τ1</th>
<th>α1</th>
<th>τ2</th>
<th>α2</th>
<th>τ3</th>
<th>α3</th>
<th>τ4</th>
<th>α4</th>
<th>(τ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free 2-Ap riboside</td>
<td>0.680*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap6</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap6+NCp7</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap6+NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap6+SSHS2NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap6+NCp7</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap6+L37NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap8</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap8+NCp7</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap8+NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap8+SSHS2NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap8+NCp7</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap8+L37NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap10</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap10+NCp7</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap10+NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap10+SSHS2NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap10+NCp7</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(−)PBS 2-Ap10+L37NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(+)PBS 2-Ap11</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(+)PBS 2-Ap10+NCp7</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(+)PBS 2-Ap11+NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP(+)PBS 2-Ap11+SSHS2NC(11–55)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from Ward et al. (18).

τi (ns) are the fluorescence lifetimes, αi their amplitudes. The amplitude α0 of the dark species, as well as the amplitudes of the various lifetimes were calculated as described in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section. (τ) is the mean fluorescence lifetime. SDs for the lifetimes and amplitudes are <15%.
ss-DNAs the corresponding lifetime did not exceed 5 ns (27,33), due to efficient collisions caused by the high flexibility of these sequences during the probe lifetime. Moreover, the low amplitude observed for 2-Ap6, 2-Ap8 and 2-Ap10, suggested marginal exposure of bases towards the solvent, in full agreement with the orientation of the bases towards the interior of the PBS loop (13,34).

The local dynamics of the 2-Ap residues was further explored by time-resolved fluorescence anisotropy, which provides information on their rotational dynamics. Fluorescence anisotropy decays were adequately fitted with a three-exponential model (Table 2 and Figure 3). The two shorter components presumably correspond to the local rotation of the dye and the segmental mobility of the loop, respectively. The slowest correlation time of 2.1–2.6 ns was attributed to the global tumbling of the PBS stem–loop confirming that the degree of freedom of the loop bases was restricted as compared to ssDNAs.

Table 2. Fluorescence anisotropy decay parameters of 2-Ap-substituted ΔP(−)PBS and ΔP(+)-PBS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ΔP (−)-PBS</th>
<th>ΔP (+)-PBS</th>
<th>ΔP (+)-PBS</th>
<th>ΔP (+)-PBS</th>
<th>ΔP (+)-PBS</th>
<th>ΔP (+)-PBS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔP (−)-PBS 2-Ap8</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP (−)-PBS 2-Ap10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔP (−)-PBS 2-Ap11</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Φi (ns) are the rotational correlation times, βi their amplitudes, S is the generalized order parameter and \( \theta_0 \) the cone semi-angle (in °) for 2-Ap local motion (calculated as described in the Supplementary Data). SDs for the rotational correlation times and amplitudes are <20% and <15%, respectively. The fluorescence anisotropy decay parameters are obtained from the fits of the time-resolved anisotropy decays, as illustrated in Figure 2.

Figure 3. Experimental anisotropy decay curve of ΔP(−)-PBS 2-Ap6 in the absence (black) and in the presence of NCp7 (green). The continuous lines (red and blue) correspond to the fit of the data with the parameters in Table 2.
sharp decrease of the $\alpha_0$ value in favour of the amplitudes associated to the $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ lifetimes, likely due to the absence of guanine residues flanking the 2-Ap11 residue (26,27). As for the $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS derivatives, the number of lifetimes and distribution of amplitudes for 2-Ap11 in $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS were in line with fast conformational fluctuations in the ps–ns range. Moreover, the $\tau_4$ and $\alpha_4$ values, as well as the time-resolved fluorescence anisotropy parameters, were very similar to those in $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS, also leading to the conclusion of a partial order within the loop of $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS. Taken together, our data suggest that the dynamics of the $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS loop is similar to that of $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS.

Effect of NCp7 on the dynamics of the $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS and $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS loop

To characterize the effect of NCp7 on the dynamics of the $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS and $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS loops through the 2-Ap fluorescence changes, we first checked the impact of the 2-Ap substitutions on the binding of NCp7 to these PBS derivatives. By monitoring the binding of the 2-Ap-labelled ODNs to NCp7 through the quenching of the intrinsic Trp37 residue (36,37), we found that the 2-Ap substitutions did not induce significant changes in the binding parameters (see Supplementary Data), again in line with the aforementioned marginal changes induced by 2-Ap substitutions in the PBS structure.

Binding of NCp7 to the 2-Ap-labelled ODNs at a ratio of 3:1 to ensure saturation of the two protein binding sites on the loop (13), did not modify the maximum fluorescence emission wavelength of 2-Ap, but increased 2- to 5-fold its quantum yield (Table 1). As a consequence, the binding of NCp7 on $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS or $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS loop significantly reduced the level of quenching of 2-Ap fluorescence by its neighbouring bases but did not change the polarity of its environment. Furthermore, the time-resolved intensity decays revealed that the increase in the 2-Ap quantum yield was mainly due to decreased populations of the dark species benefiting the most emitting species, as well as to an increase in the long-lived $\tau_1$ lifetime value. These changes in the amplitude and lifetime values suggested that NCp7 severely restricted the stacking and collisions of 2-Ap with its neighbour residues. This restriction in stacking and collisions is in line with the ability of NCp7 to stretch the entire $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS loop and to direct the T6 and G7 bases toward the exterior of the loop (13), which markedly increases the distance between the bases. In this respect, the large changes in the amplitudes and $\tau_4$ values observed for 2-Ap at position 8 of $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS are likely a consequence of the stacking of the Trp37 residue with G7, which prevents its collisions and stacking with 2-Ap8. Furthermore, the large changes in the amplitudes and $\tau_4$ values of 2-Ap10 at the 3'-end of the $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS loop indicate that the binding of NCp7 to its second site in the loop induces similar restrictions on the stacking and collisions of 2-Ap.

Time-resolved anisotropy decays further revealed that binding of NCp7 to the $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS loop induced a strong decrease in the amplitude associated with the local motion of the 2-Ap bases, irrespective of their position in the loop. The restriction of the local motion of the bases at positions 6 and 8, is fully consistent with numerous contacts between NCp7 and these bases, as observed by NMR upon binding to the loop 5'-end (13). Interestingly, we observed that binding of NCp7 to the 3'-end of the loop induced a similar freezing of the local motion of 2-Ap at position 10, suggesting that NCp7 induced similar restrictions on the local mobility of the bases in its two binding sites. The binding of at least two NCp7 molecules on the 2-Ap-labelled ODNs was clearly confirmed by the 9–10 ns value of the slowest correlation time $\Phi_3$, which is in line with the expected theoretical value of a 2:1 non-spherical complex. Interestingly, in all $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS derivatives, the amplitude associated with the $\Phi_3$ correlation time strongly increased upon binding with NCp7, showing an overall decrease in the loop flexibility, so that the stem–loop tumbles as a whole. Taken together, our data indicate that NCp7 binding to its two binding sites on $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS loop strongly restricts the picosecond to nanosecond dynamics of the loop, by constraining both the overall flexibility of the loop and the local mobility of the bases. The restricted dynamics of the loop majorly results in unstacking of bases. Similar conclusions can be drawn from the time-resolved anisotropy decays of $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS, suggesting that NCp7 constrains both the overall and local dynamics of the two DNA PBS loops.

Effect of NCp7 mutants on the dynamics of the $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS and $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS loop

To identify the protein determinants responsible for the NCp7-induced changes in the structure and dynamics of the $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS and $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS loops, we used a series of NCp7 mutants (Figure 1). The contribution of the N-terminal domain was investigated with NC(11–55), a peptide composed of the ZF domain but lacking the basic N-terminal domain. Binding of NC(11–55) to $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS labelled by 2-Ap at positions 6, 8 or 10 or to $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS labelled at position 11 induced changes in both steady-state and time-resolved fluorescence parameters similar to those of NCp7 (Tables 1 and 2), indicating that the NCp7-induced modifications in the structure and dynamics of the $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS or $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS loop are mainly mediated by the ZF domain.

Since NC(11–55) represented the minimal sequence able to constrain the PBS loop structure and dynamics in a manner very similar to NCp7, we studied the impact of mutants derived from this minimal NC sequence. We first investigated the role of the Zn$^{2+}$-induced folding of the NCp7 fingers, by using the (SSHS)$_2$NC(11–55) mutant where all cysteins are substituted by serines, in order to prevent the binding of zinc and subsequently the folding of the fingers (38,39). Binding of this mutated peptide to the 2-Ap-labelled ODNs was evidenced by the large increase of the $\Phi_3$ correlation time (Table 2), suggesting that at least two peptides did bind to the ODN. However, in sharp contrast to NCp7 and NC(11–55), (SSHS)$_2$NC(11–55) induced negligible changes in the steady-state and time-resolved parameters of the 2-Ap-labelled ODNs, indicating that this mutant only slightly restricts the flexibility of the loops and the local mobility of the bases.

The restriction of the local motion of the bases at positions 6 and 8, is fully consistent with numerous contacts between NCp7 and these bases, as observed by NMR upon binding to the loop 5'-end (13). Interestingly, we observed that binding of NCp7 to the 3'-end of the loop induced a similar freezing of the local motion of 2-Ap at position 10, suggesting that NCp7 induced similar restrictions on the local mobility of the bases in its two binding sites. The binding of at least two NCp7 molecules on the 2-Ap-labelled ODNs was clearly confirmed by the 9–10 ns value of the slowest correlation time $\Phi_3$, which is in line with the expected theoretical value of a 2:1 non-spherical complex. Interestingly, in all $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS derivatives, the amplitude associated with the $\Phi_3$ correlation time strongly increased upon binding with NCp7, showing an overall decrease in the loop flexibility, so that the stem–loop tumbles as a whole. Taken together, our data indicate that NCp7 binding to its two binding sites on $\Delta P(\neg)$PBS loop strongly restricts the picosecond to nanosecond dynamics of the loop, by constraining both the overall flexibility of the loop and the local mobility of the bases. The restricted dynamics of the loop majorly results in unstacking of bases. Similar conclusions can be drawn from the time-resolved anisotropy decays of $\Delta P(\text{+})$PBS, suggesting that NCp7 constrains both the overall and local dynamics of the two DNA PBS loops.
Thus, the folding of the ZFs appears critical for the NCp7-induced changes in the structure and dynamics of the ΔP(−)/PBS and ΔP(+)/PBS loop.

Next, the contribution of the hydrophobic plateau at the top of the two ZFs was investigated by mutating the two conserved aromatic residues of this plateau that play a critical role in ODН binding (40–42). To that end, Phe16 and Trp37 were substituted by Ala and Leu in the A16NC(11–55) and L37NC(11–55) mutants, respectively. Since these two amino acids are not involved in zinc chelation, these mutations were expected to sustain the folding of the ZFs (15). Due to their limited affinity for ODНs (27), A16NC(11–55) and L37NC(11–55) peptides were added in large excess (1 peptide per 2 nt and 1 peptide to 1 nt, respectively), to ensure full coating of ΔP(−)/PBS, as evidenced by the large φ1 values similar to values obtained with NC(11–55). Both A16NC(11–55) and L37NC(11–55) mutants induced only a limited increase in the quantum yield of the different 2-Ap-substituted ΔP(−)/PBS sequences, suggesting that these mutations dramatically alter the ability of NCp7 to reduce base stacking and restrict base collisions. This was confirmed by the limited lifetime redistribution towards the less quenched conformations. In addition, the two mutants moderately restricted the local mobility of 2-Ap as compared to NC(11–55), indicating the critical role played by the hydrophobic platform in this process. Moreover, it should be noted that these two mutants showed asymmetric effects with respect to the unstacking of 2-Ap at positions 6 and 8. Indeed, A16NC(11–55) was more efficient than L37NC(11–55) in reducing the amplitude α6 associated to dark species (0.70 versus 0.79) and the local mobility of 2-Ap in position 8 (β1 = 0.18 versus 0.26). In contrast, at position 6, L37NC(11–55) appeared more efficient (α6 = 0.62 versus 0.78 and β1 = 0.21 versus 0.28). This asymmetric effect was consistent with NMR data showing that in the NC(12–53)/ΔP(−)/PBS complex, F16 interacts with T6 while W37 interacts with G7(13).

These observations indicate that the ability of NCp7 to unstack and reorient the bases of the loop as well as to restrict the local and overall dynamics of ΔP(−)/PBS or ΔP(+)/PBS is mainly mediated by the hydrophobic plateau at the top of the ZFs.

NCp7-mediated restriction of the PBS loop dynamics in the (−)/(+)PBS annealing reaction

To further evaluate the relevance of the NCp7-induced restriction of the dynamics of PBS loop, and notably its contribution to the promotion of (−)/(+)PBS annealing, we studied the annealing reaction of doubly labelled (+)/PBS with non-labelled (−)/PBS in the presence of NCp7 and NC mutants. The initial fluorescence of the folded 5′Rh6G+(+)PBS-3′DABCYL was very low due to its stem–loop structure, that brings the Rh6G fluorophore in close vicinity to the DABCYL group, acting as a quencher. Addition of NCp7 or NC(11–55) to the doubly labelled (+)/PBS at a ratio of one NCp7 per 5 nt induced a small fluorescence increase, in line with the weak destabilizing activity of NCp7 on the PBS stem (9). In contrast, the (SSHS)2NC(11–55) or L37NC(11–55) mutants did not induce any increase in the Rh6G fluorescence, confirming the critical role of Trp37 residue and ZFs in NCp7 destabilizing activity (40). Addition of (−)/PBS to 5′Rh6G+(+)PBS-3′DABCYL allowed the formation of the extended (+)/(+)PBS duplex, causing an important increase of the dye-to-dye distance as evidenced by the Rh6G fluorescence restoration. In the absence of peptide, the (+)/(+)PBS hybridization spontaneously occurred with a rather slow rate (Table 3). In the presence of NCp7, an about two orders of magnitude increase in the rate of the (+)/(+)PBS annealing reaction was observed. The NC(11–55) and L37NC(11–55) mutants also increased the annealing reaction rate, albeit to a lesser extent than NCp7 (5- to 6-fold increase). Interestingly, a much stronger increase (30-fold) in the annealing rate was obtained with the (SSHS)2NC(11–55) mutant (see Supplementary Figure S1 of the Supplementary Data).

Since this mutant was shown to primarily promote annealing reactions through its electrostatic nucleic acid aggregating component (43,44), it is likely that this basic component plays a major role in the (+)/(+)PBS annealing rate.

To further dissect the effect of the NCp7 mutants on the (−)/(+)PBS annealing reaction, we monitored the annealing reaction in the temperature range of 5–50°C and plotted the reaction rates through an Arrhenius plot (Figure 4). According to the Arrhenius model, the transition state thermodynamic parameters can be derived from the reaction rates using:

\[ k = A \times \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \]  

where \( A \) is a pre-exponential factor, \( E_a \) is the activation energy, \( R \) the gas constant and \( T \) the temperature. In line with the Arrhenius model, the logarithm of the bimolecular rate constants was linearly dependent on the inverse of the temperature. Interestingly, the fits of the experimental data in the absence or the presence of the different NC mutants resulted in parallel lines (Figure 4), indicating that the activation energies (\( E_a \)) needed for the reaction to be productive (and their related ∆H* values) were poorly influenced by NCp7 and its mutants (Table 4). In sharp contrast, the annealing rates (\( k \)) were strongly dependent on the presence and nature of the NC peptide, giving significant variation of the Gibbs free energy ∆G*, according to:

\[ \Delta G^* = -RT \ln \left( \frac{k}{k_B} \right) \]  

where \( h \) and \( k_B \) are the Planck and Boltzmann constants, respectively. As the ∆H* value was invariant for all NCp7 derivatives, changes in ∆G* resulted from changes in the activation entropy of the reaction (∆S*) (Table 3). The highest ∆S* values were observed with the native NCp7 and the (SSHS)2NC(11–55), while a much lower value was observed for the NC(11–55) mutant. The high ∆S* values observed with NCp7 and (SSHS)2NC(11–55) are possibly related to the high rates at which collisions occur between the ODНs coated by these peptides. The basic Nterminal...
domain of NCp7 and the unfolded (SSHS)2NC(11–55) peptide probably efficiently screen the negatively charged ODNs and create short range interactions between the complementary ODNs, even at sub-aggregating concentrations (5). This ‘aggregation’ or molecular crowding effect is thought to facilitate the diffusional search for the complementary sequences (45) and increase the reaction rate.

Next, we performed a (−)PBS mutational analysis to determine the reaction pathways in the presence of NCp7 and NC mutants. The annealing experiments were first performed with the A5(−)PBS mutant (Figure 5A) which prevents the annealing pathway initiated through the loops (Figure 5A). With both NCp7 and NC(11–55), no accumulation of extended duplexes was observed, due to the ability of both peptides to dissociate the duplexes of (+)PBS with A5(−)PBS that spontaneously form in the absence of peptide (10). In sharp contrast, both L37NC(11–55) and (SSHS)2NC(11–55) strongly promoted the annealing of (+)PBS to A5(−)PBS, with annealing rates close to those observed with the native (+)/(−)PBS sequences, suggesting that the (−)PBS loop does not play a critical role in the reaction pathway promoted by these NC mutants (Table 3).

We also investigated the annealing reaction of (+)PBS with a Td(−)PBS mutant, in which the 15-GCCA-18 sequence was substituted by a T4 sequence to prevent the nucleation through the PBS single strand overhangs (Figure 5B). In the presence of NCp7 or NC(11–55), the rate constants with the Td(−)PBS mutant were similar to those with the native (−)PBS, suggesting that the (−)PBS overhang is not critical for the main reaction pathway promoted by NCp7 and NC(11–55). In contrast, a 15- and 4-fold decrease in the annealing rates were observed when the corresponding annealing reactions were monitored with (SSHS)2NC(11–55) or L37NC(11–55), respectively (Table 3). This clearly indicated that the (−)PBS overhang plays a central role in the (SSHS)2NC(11–55)-promoted (+)/(−)PBS annealing reaction, and to a lesser extent in the L37NC(11–55)-promoted (+)/(−)PBS annealing reaction.

Thus, our data strongly suggest that (SSHS)2NC(11–55) or L37NC(11–55) promote the (+)/(−)PBS annealing reaction, mainly through the single-strand overhangs at the bottom of the PBS stems, while, NCp7 and NC(11–55) promote this reaction mainly through the loops. Thus, the activity of NCp7 mutants on the loop dynamics correlates well with their ability to promote the (+)/(−)PBS annealing reaction through the loops. Therefore, the NCp7-induced restriction of the local and overall dynamics of the PBS loop likely constitutes a molecular prerequisite for promoting the (+)/(−)PBS annealing reaction through the loop–loop kissing pathway.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the mechanism of NCp7-directed (+)/(−)PBS annealing that occurs during the plus DNA strand transfer reaction. To this end, we used 2-Ap(−)PBS and 2-Ap(+)PBS stem–loops substituted with 2-Ap to characterize the structural and dynamical changes in the loop induced by NCp7 or NC mutants, and to correlate these changes with the thermodynamic and kinetic parameters of the annealing reaction.

The substitution of natural bases by 2-Ap in the PBS loops was shown to minimally affect the folding of the loop and its binding parameters to NCp7. In the absence of protein, the 2-Ap residues in both (−)PBS and (+)PBS loops experienced multiple conformations and were efficiently quenched through collisions with their neighbour residues, in line with an orientation of the bases toward the interior of the loop and a partial order of the loop (13,34). NCp7 restricted the picosecond to nanosecond dynamics of the PBS loops, by

---

### Table 3. Kinetic parameters of the annealing of (+)PBS to (−)PBS mutants in the absence and in the presence of NC derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labelled sequence</th>
<th>Complementary sequence</th>
<th>k (M⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)PBS</td>
<td>(−)PBS</td>
<td>3800² ± 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A₅(−)PBS</td>
<td>(−)PBS</td>
<td>3200² ± 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄d(−)PBS</td>
<td>(−)PBS</td>
<td>~20³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²Data from Ramalanjaona et al. (10).
³No rate constant could be defined since NCp7 promotes the dissociation of the A5/(−)PBS duplex.

---

### Figure 4. Arrhenius analysis of the annealing of (−)PBS to (+)PBS in the presence of NCp7 mutants. The experiments were performed in the absence (closed squares) or in the presence of NCp7 (open squares) or its mutants, NC(11–55) (closed circles), SSHS₂NC(11–55) (closed triangles), A₅⁶NC(11–55) (open circles) and L₃₇NC(11–55) (open triangles).
constraining both the overall flexibility of the loops and the local mobility of the bases. Similar effects of NCp7 were previously observed with small flexible single-stranded ODNs (26,27), suggesting that they correspond to a general feature of interaction between NCp7 and its binding sites. The observed changes in the loop dynamics of both (+)PBS and (−)PBS species and the resulting base unstacking clearly suggested that NCp7 stabilizes conformations where the loop is stretched. Using NC mutants, the dynamic changes in the PBS loops were found to result from the specific interaction with the hydrophobic plateau at the top of the folded ZFs that also supports the destabilizing component of the NCp7 chaperone activity. Most interestingly, the NCp7-induced restriction of the dynamics in the PBS loops strongly correlated with the ability of NCp7 to switch the (−)PBS/(+PBS) annealing reaction from a single strand overhangs pathway (Figure 6, upper pathway) to a loop–loop kissing pathway (Figure 6, lower pathway). Mutating the critical F16 and W37 aromatic amino acids in the two ZFs resulted in a very limited restriction of the loop mobility. Since these NC mutants only promoted slightly the switching of annealing pathway, one can speculate that the dynamics of the whole PBS loop should be restricted to induce this switching. As the PBS loop can accommodate two NCp7 protein molecules (13), an appropriate restriction of the loop dynamics probably requires the binding of two native NC molecules.

From the temperature dependence of the annealing rate, it appears that NCp7 does not lower the activation energy $E_a$ of the annealing reaction. In fact, the corresponding positive enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ = E_a - RT$ with $T = 298.15$ K) was determined to $\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ$. $\Delta G^\circ$ corresponds to (cal mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) of $\Delta H^\circ$.

![Table 4. Thermodynamic parameters of the NC-promoted (+)/(−)PBS annealing](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$k_a$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\circ$ (eu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No peptide</td>
<td>$4.3 \pm 1.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$80 \pm 8$</td>
<td>$57$</td>
<td>$78 \pm 5$</td>
<td>$17 \pm 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCp7</td>
<td>$3.2 \pm 1.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$78 \pm 10$</td>
<td>$45$</td>
<td>$76 \pm 8$</td>
<td>$26 \pm 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC(11–55)</td>
<td>$3.1 \pm 0.6 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$78 \pm 12$</td>
<td>$52$</td>
<td>$76 \pm 9$</td>
<td>$19 \pm 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A16NC(11–55)</td>
<td>$9.4 \pm 0.7 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$80 \pm 8$</td>
<td>$55$</td>
<td>$78 \pm 6$</td>
<td>$17 \pm 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L37 NC(11–55)</td>
<td>$3.2 \pm 0.8 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$77 \pm 11$</td>
<td>$48$</td>
<td>$74 \pm 8$</td>
<td>$18 \pm 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSH2 NC(11–55)</td>
<td>$1.8 \pm 0.6 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$81 \pm 9$</td>
<td>$48$</td>
<td>$79 \pm 7$</td>
<td>$25 \pm 3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Determined at 25°C.
$^b$ Determined from the Arrhenius plot (Figure 4).
$^c$ Calculated from the annealing rate constant at 25°C according to Equation (5).
$^d$ $\Delta H^\circ$ is given by $\Delta H^\circ = E_a - RT$ with $T = 298.15$ K.

$^e$ Calculated according to $\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ$. $\Delta S^\circ$ corresponds to (cal mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$).

![Figure 5. (−)PBS mutational analysis of the annealing reaction. (A) The A$\Delta$−PBS derivative (mutated residues are surrounded by a circle) was designed to prevent loop–loop interactions. No A$\Delta$−PBS/(+PBS) duplex accumulated in the presence of NCp7. In contrast, NC ZF mutants strongly promoted the A$\Delta$−PBS/(+PBS) annealing reaction. (B) The T$\Delta$−PBS derivative (mutated residues are surrounded by a rectangle) was designed to prevent the nucleation of the two complementary PBS sequence through the ss overhangs. Annealing of T$\Delta$−PBS with (+PBS) occurred at low rate in the absence of NCp7 or in the presence of NCp7 mutants with altered chaperone properties. In contrast, in the presence of NCp7, the T$\Delta$−PBS/(+PBS) annealing reaction occurred at a rate similar to that observed with the native DNA sequences.](image)
NCp7 plays a central role in determining the annealing reaction rates. Since this domain largely governs the aggregating properties of the protein (44,47,48), the resulting crowding effect is probably responsible for the high $\Delta S^*$ value in the presence of NCp7. The $\Delta S^*$ value and the annealing rate were also high in the presence of (SSHS)$_2$NC(11–55). The flexible nature of this mutant may allow it to better adjust to the ODN structure and thus, better screen the repulsive forces between the ODN phosphate charges, which results in a more efficient promotion of the annealing reaction. A similar improved performance of a SSHS(1–55) mutant as compared to the native NCp7 was previously reported for the annealing of tRNA$_{\text{Lys3}}$ to the PBS sequence (43). In addition, since this mutant does not destabilize the ODN structure, the enhancement in annealing rate appears thus poorly related to the destabilizing activity of NCp7.

In sharp contrast, the ability of the mutants to induce a mechanistic switch strongly depends on the integrity of the hydrophobic platform on the ZF domain. Mutations preventing the formation of this platform and thus, abolishing the nucleic acid destabilizing activity of NCp7 (40,44,49) were unable to induce this mechanistic switch, but can still accelerate the annealing reaction. In this context, the chaperoning activity of NCp7 can be appropriately envisioned only if the annealing pathway is considered. The disregard of the annealing pathway probably explains previous in vitro observations where the ZFs of NCp7 were reported to be dispensable in promoting annealing reactions (50–52). In sharp contrast to these in vitro experiments, even single point mutations within the ZFs resulted in the production of non-infectious particles in vivo, clearly showing that the ZFs play a critical role (53–56). Mutations in the NCp7 ZFs resulted notably in lower plus strand transfer efficiency, defective viral DNA (vDNA) sequences, and profound modifications of the spatio-temporal control of the reverse transcription process (56–63). Nevertheless, in line with our observations that ZF mutations still allow efficient (−)/(+)PBS annealing, the corresponding mutants do not block in vivo the synthesis of viral DNA (62–64). This is likely related to the ability of the mutated NC proteins to stimulate non-specifically the rearrangements of nucleic acids through pathways already existing in the absence of peptides (i.e. mostly through the PBS overhangs here). Such a non-specific promotion may also exist in various

Figure 6. Proposed mechanism for (−)/(+)PBS annealing. In the absence of NCp7 (upper pathway), the bases of (−)PBS and (+)PBS loops are oriented towards the interior of the loop and not available for loop–loop interaction. As a consequence, the annealing is nucleated through the flexible single-stranded overhangs and possibly, the exposed bulged nt at the bottom of the stem (red bases). In contrast, upon the preferential binding to the PBS loops, NCp7 stretches the loop and exposes the loop bases (blue bases, lower pathway). As a result, NCp7 ‘freezes’ PBS conformations competent for annealing via the loops, leading to a strong activation of the loop–loop kissing pathway, and thus, to a switch in the reaction mechanism.
physiological microenvironments rich in polycations, such as the seminal fluid, that promote the natural endogenous reverse transcription (65,66). In contrast, the binding of wild-type NCp7 to both (+)PBS and (+)PBS loops reveals hidden nucleation sites which fuels nucleic acid rearrangements towards specific routes. Both the switch in the annealing mechanism and the inhibition of the annealing of imperfect complementary sequences [see mutant A2(+)PBS in Table 3] constitute two clear examples of how NCp7 directs the nucleic acid rearrangements towards such specific routes. Interestingly, NCp7 also induces a switch of the annealing pathway during the first strand transfer by directing the hybridization of the TAR complementary sequences via the ends of their double-stranded stems (45,67). These two switches, from the loop to the stem for TAR/ cTAR and from the overhangs to the loop for (+)/(+)PBS, are clearly related to the ability of NCp7 to locally destabilize these sequences. Indeed, NCp7 destabilizes mainly the bottom of the cTAR stem and poorly the top of the stem–loop (21,68–72) while it mainly affects the loop of the PBS sequences (9,13). Thus, the ability of NCp7 to funnel nucleic acid rearrangements towards specific routes appears to be strongly dependent on the sequence/structure context and is probably of importance for the control of reverse transcription timing and ultimately for the generation of stable vDNA products.

NCp7 with properly folded ZFs was reported to improve the fidelity of reverse transcription by strongly inhibiting non-PPT priming, ensuring the selection of the PPT sequence as the sole primer for initiation of plus-strand DNA synthesis (73,74). In this respect, the ability of NCp7 to promote a specific annealing pathway during the second strand transfer could constitute a supplementary assertion in the understanding of how NCp7 ensures the formation of stable vDNA products. At the molecular level, both the plus priming control and the annealing mechanism selection require the hydrophobic platform at the top of the folded ZFs. Since this hydrophobic plateau is also the protein determinant associated with the destabilizing activity of NCp7, it could be of interest to determine whether NCp7 can also ‘freeze’ specific reactive states involved in the first strand transfer (67,75,76). This work is under progress.
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